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## PREFACE

Since this University prescribes books for students, it is but reasonable that it should supply them with editions in which to read them. This, it is hoped, will be deemed a sufficient excuse for the appearance of a new edition of the Crito, in which the wants of candidates for Responsion have been specially kept in view. They have grammar behind them and philosophy before, and require to be reminded of the one and to be given a foretaste of the other. Some of them will afterwards go in for Honours ; others will remain contented with a Pass. If the notes therefore should appear to any too elementary, let them remember the needs of the weaker brethren; if any, on the contrary, think them too hard, let them bear in mind that the requirements of scholars and teachers have had to be consulted as well as their own.

The previous editors to whom I am bound to make acknowledgement are, first and chiefly, Stallbaum, and after him Purves, Con and Wagner. Had I consulted Mr. Adam's edition, I would doubtless have improved my own : but I could not bring myself to exploit a work which has so recently appeared before the public. Among
collateral aids Wayte's notes on the Protagoras have been found the most useful. To Mr. Evelyn Abbott I am indebted, not only for his revision of my work, but also for his Index to Plato, for which every scholar has reason to be grateful.

The text followed in this edition is that of the Zurich editors.

## ST. GEORGE STOCK.

8, Museum Road, Oxford, Aug. 13, 1891.

## INTRODUCTION

The Crito is a sequel to the Apology. In the Apology we I. Position left Socrates condemned as an irreligious person and a corrupter of the young; in the Crito we find him refusing to infringe the laws of his country by falling in with a plan arranged by Plato. his friends for his escape from prison. This incident furnishes the motive for the dialogue ; the scene is the prison hard by the court in which Socrates was condemned ${ }^{1}$; the time early morning on the last day but one before his death and nearly a month after the trial ${ }^{2}$. Thus in the biographical or chronological order the Crito is intermediate between the Apology and the Phaedo, in which last we catch the dying utterance of the sage of Athens. Accordingly in the arrangement of Plato's works ascribed to Thrasyllus the Crito comes in between the Apology and Phaedo, occupying the third place in the first tetralogy. This quartette of dialogues begins with the Euthyphro, wherein we find Socrates deserting the Lyceum for the King's Porch, because of his impending prosecution by Meletus. In the other and more philosophical division of the works of Plato, which was also current in antiquity, the Crito is fitly referred to the head of 'ethical' discourses.

In its construction the Crito is among the very simplest of 2 . ConPlato's dialogues, resembling the earlier form of the drama, in which only two actors figured on the stage. In style it is rhetorical rather than dialectical, accepting the received struction and style of the dialogue. notions of justice and injustice, of law and of the duties of a citizen, without mystifying us by an attempt to analyse them.

[^0]${ }^{2}$ Xen. Mem. IV. 8, § 2.

It may be considered as a specimen of that true rhetoric, which Plato deemed possible, the object of which should be to implant justice and temperance in the soul ${ }^{1}$.
3. Date of composition.
4. Historical basis of the Crito.

With regard to the date of composition nothing can be affirmed, except that the Crito was probably written after the Apology. This may be inferred from the references which it appears to contain to that work ${ }^{2}$. It might of course be argued that these apparent references to the Apology are really reminiscences of the actual words of Socrates on his trial, which must have lived in the memory of his hearers. But they tally with the Apology of Plato and do not tally with the Apology of Xenophon, whence it is probable that these references are literary rather than historical.
Just as the Apology may be seen to have a basis of fact from its curious touches of resemblance amid diversity to the Apology of Xenophon, so it is also with the Crito. We know from Xenophon ${ }^{3}$ that there was an attempt made by the friends of Socrates to smuggle him out of jail ; and that author records that Socrates disposed of their solicitations by asking them whether they knew any spot outside the Athenian territory which was not accessible to death. Diogenes Laertius ${ }^{4}$ however, on the authority of Idomeneus, a disciple of Epicurus, ascribes the recommendation to escape from prison to Aeschines, the Socratic, instead of to Crito. But the advice is such as might well have been offered by more than one friend. We need not therefore attach much weight to the malicious suggestion of Idomeneus, that Plato suppressed the name of Aeschines, because he was annoyed at his reputation at the court of Dionysius. Socrates' interpretation of the dream in like manner is related by Diogenes in connection with Aeschines, perhaps on the same authority, though he does not in this case quote it ${ }^{5}$.
${ }^{1}$ Gorg. 504 D.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cp}$. Crito $45 \mathrm{~B}, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \hat{\delta} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{e} \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \not \varphi \kappa \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. with Apol.
 тótє $\mu$ ѐv к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. with Apol. 37 B, C.

${ }^{3}$ Apol. § 23 . ${ }^{4}$ Diog. Laert. II. § 60, III. § $3^{6 .}$
${ }^{5}$ See notes on $44 \mathrm{~B},{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{H} \mu a \tau i ́ 1 \kappa \in \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., \mathrm{C}, \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu \nu \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$.

The purpose of the Crito is manifestly to vindicate the 5 . Purpose character of Socrates as a citizen. He had been charged by of theCrito. his accusers with inculcating disrespect for the institutions of his country ${ }^{1}$, and his philosophical admiration for the constitutions of Sparta and Crete was made no secret of ${ }^{2}$. His dialectical analysis of accepted ideas was looked upon with suspicion as having the taint of treason. Thus in the Clouds of Aristophanes ${ }^{3}$ his supposed disciple, Pheidippides, is made to exclaim-



The same charge of treasonable tendencies was echoed, as Grote reminds us, by no less a person than Cato the Censor ${ }^{4}$, a man utterly out of sympathy with speculative philosophy. It is against this deep-rooted misconception that Plato sets himself in the Crito to deliver the memory of his master. To this end he introduces the famous personification of the laws and constitution of Athens, which Socrates is made to imagine as addressing to himself an impassioned harangue on the duties of a citizen to his country. Plato also urges, in proof of the patriotism of Socrates, his persistent residence within the walls of Athens ${ }^{5}$. No doubt Socrates was a true patriot in the best sense of the term; but, unless he has been sadly belied, he was no very warm adherent of democratic ideas. If the coins of humanity were severally worthless, he doubted whether they gained value from being massed in a heap ${ }^{6}$, thus differing from the opinion of Aristotle, who thought that the judgment of the multitude collectively might be more valuable than that of the individuals who composed it. We cannot therefore quite acquit Plato of
${ }^{1}$ Xen. Mem. I. 2, § 9.

${ }^{3}$ 1399, 1400.
${ }^{4}$ Plutarch, Cato XXIII ad in., ös $\gamma \in$ каì इшкра́тך ф $\eta \sigma i ̀ \lambda a ́ \lambda o v ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$



${ }^{5}$ See $52 \mathrm{~B}, 53 \mathrm{~A}$.
${ }^{6}$ D. L. II. § 34.
being animated in the Crito by the same desire which dominates the Menexenus, of showing how, when he was in the mood, he could rival the popular orators of Athens. In the Politicus the Eleatic Stranger, who is supposed to be the mouthpiece of Plato's own views, rather sneers at law in comparison with a wise and sovereign will. The very doctrine which is enforced in the Crito is referred to there as a specious commonplace ${ }^{1}$, though afterwards this law-abidingness is admitted to be the best thing in the actual state of the world ${ }^{2}$. But these later views are purely Platonic developments; and we must not forget how the Socrates of Xenophon ${ }^{3}$, when challenged by Hippias of Elis to give his own view with respect to justice, is content to identify justice with the observance of law. To the objection of Hippias that laws and their observance can be no great matter, because laws are often altered by the very men who framed them, Socrates replies that you might as well slight discipline in war on the ground that peace may afterwards be concluded. In the Memorabilia too, as at the close of the Crito, Socrates leads his hearer on from human enactments to the contemplation of those eternal laws ' which are not of to-day or yesterday.'
6. Its ethical importance. Doctrine ofnonresistance to injuries.

Though the Crito is not professedly a speculative dialogue, it nevertheless possesses a profound philosophical importance owing to its anticipation of Christian ethics. Nothing can be more emphatic than the way in which the doctrine of nonresistance to injuries is laid down. 'Then one ought not,' says Socrates, 'to do wrong in return, nor to do ill to any man, no matter what one may suffer at men's hands. And see to

[^1]it, Crito, in assenting to this, that you do not assent contrary to your opinion. For I know that there are few who hold, or will hold, this opinion. Those then who have formed this opinion and those who have not cannot take counsel together, but must needs despise one another, when they view each other's counsels. Do you also then consider very carefully, whether you share with me and hold this opinion that I hold, and whether we are to start from this principle in our deliberations, that it is never right either to do wrong, or to do it in return, or to defend oneself when ill-treated by doing ill in return.'

But Socrates was not content merely to preach non-resistance to evil; he also practised it. If the following anecdotes are partly apocryphal, they at least serve to show the impression that was left of his character. Thus it is related that his zeal in controversy sometimes led to his being cuffed and otherwise maltreated by disputants with less relish for truth than himself: but that he bore these rebuffs with exemplary patience. When someone expressed surprise at his allowing himself to be kicked, he asked whether if a donkey kicked him, he ought to take the law of it ${ }^{1}$. One day also when Xanthippe tore off his cloak in the market-place, his friends advised him to defend himself with his fists ; but the sage replied, 'Yes indeed ; to have you crying out, " Go it, Socrates! Go it, Xanthippe "!", There is a marked difference of tone between this imperturbable good-humour of Socrates and the meekness of a Christian martyr: but the two coincide in their effect on conduct. It was the life, and not merely the teaching, of Socrates that so powerfully influenced his disciples. We may gather the reverence that was felt for his character from the words of Aristippus to Plato, when he deemed him guilty of some presumptuous utterance' Our friend would never have said that ${ }^{3}$.'

[^2]
## KPIT $\Omega \mathrm{N}$

## [ $\grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi \rho a \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ o v, ~ \grave{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa o ́ s]$.

Crito brings zword to Socrates in prison that the ship from Delos, the arrival of which portended death to him, has been seen off Sunium.
St. p.
 є̇ $\sigma \tau i ́ v$; duction.

KР. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ ov̂v.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пŋрiка $\mu$ а́入ıбта;
KP. "O $O \theta \rho o s \beta a \theta u ́ s$.
 фv́лаछ $\mathfrak{v} \pi а к о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$.



KP. 'Етıєькติs тá入aı.
 тарака́Өךбаь;







$\Sigma \Omega$. Kaì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ä $\nu, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ̀ s \in \not ้ \eta ~ a ̉ \gamma a-$




$\Sigma \Omega$. "E $\sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha$. à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau i ́ \delta \eta ̀ ~ o v ゙ \tau \omega ~ \pi \rho \varrho ’ ~ a ̀ \phi \grave{\iota} \xi \alpha \iota ;$


 тоîs ßари́тат’ àv '̇vє́ $\gamma к а \iota \mu \iota$.
 ô̂ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ảфькоиє́vov $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu$ ávaı $\mu \epsilon$;






Socrates declares, on the strength of a dream, that it will not reach Athens until the next day.

 т $\eta \mu \in \rho о \nu$.

КР. Пó $\epsilon є \nu$ тоитто тєкцаі́ $\rho є \iota$;



KP. Фабí үє́ тоь ঠ̀̀ oi тои́т $\omega \nu$ ки́pıoь.











You must escape, Socrates. What will the world think of us if swe let you die?











Why should we beed the opinion of the world, Crito? The sworld is not the arbiter of good and ill.


 $\hat{a} v \pi \rho a \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$.











Do not fear the consequences to us your friends. We are ready to spend money, and will provide for your reception abroad.
(2) Socrates need not fear the consequences to his friends.








 каї $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s} \pi о$ íєь.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Каì таv̂та $\pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta о \hat{\mu} \mu \iota$, ©̂ $\mathrm{K} \rho i \not \tau \omega \nu$, киì ä $\lambda \lambda a$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$.















 ката̀ Єєтта入íav.

Lastly, Socrates, your duty to your children demands that you should save yourself; and we shall be disgraced if you do not.
 $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$, $\sigma a v \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o \delta o v ̂ \nu a \iota, ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota^{\circ}$ каi тоıav̂тa to duty. $\sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ бavtòv $\gamma \in \nu \in \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$ àv каì oi $\grave{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o i ́$



























Your zeal is invaluable, Crito, if only it be rigbtly directed. We must therefore examine the question in the light of reason.
III. Socrates' reply. 46 B-54 E.












 Є̀ $\pi \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \nu \sigma a \kappa \alpha a ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \phi а \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s . ~$

Were ave rigbt in admitting before that not all opinions are to be attended to, but only those of the wise?
(I) Not all opinions are to be attender to, but on
those of
the wise
















 $\sigma \theta a \iota$, őть ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ \chi \rho \eta े ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \xi a s ~ \tau \omega ̂ v ~ a ̀ ~ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega v ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̂ v, ~$



KP. Kà由ิs.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Оủkov̂v tàs $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ Х $\chi \eta \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \nu, \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi o v \eta \rho a ̀ s ~$ $\mu \eta^{\prime}$;

KP. Naí.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảф $\rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu$;

KР. Пิิs $\delta$ ' ov*;

As in questions of bodily bealth we attend to the opinion of the expert, and not to that of the many, so should we do in the case of justice.





KP. 'Evòs uóvov.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$.

KP. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a \delta$ $\delta$.




KP. "Ебтı таиิта.




KP. Пต̂s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oṽ;
 єỉs $\tau i ́ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \tau o \hat{a}$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta o v ̃ \nu \tau o s ;$

KP. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ ört $\epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a^{\circ}$ тоv̂тo $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ठ $\iota o ́ \lambda \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$.











For justice is the health of the soul, and is more important than the bealth of the body.



 $\sigma \omega ิ \mu a^{*} \hat{\eta}$ ov̉xí；

KP．Naí．






 каıоб⿱㇒⿻二乚力 $\eta$ ė $\sigma \tau i v$ ；

KP．Ov̉òauติs．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ，＇А入入à $\tau \iota \mu เ \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ;$
KР．Поли́ $\gamma \epsilon$ ．







But the many may kill us，if ave neglect their opinion．True：but it is not mere life，but a good life，that is important．And a good li，e is a noble and just life．
 то入入оі̀ àтокт七ขvúvaı；






KP. 'А $А \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \in ́ v \epsilon \iota$.
 $\mu \in ́ \nu \in \iota \geqslant \geqslant$ ท̀ ov̉ $\mu \in ́ v \in \iota$;

KP. Méveı.

The only question then for us is whether it is just for me to attempt to escape or not. Let us endeavour to agree about this.
(2) His duty is to stay, not to go.














 $\tau \alpha s$, ov้тє ä入入o óтเov̂v $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̀ \delta \iota \iota \kappa \in \imath ̂ \nu . ~$
 ठє̀ $\tau i ́ \delta \rho \omega ิ \mu \epsilon \nu$.




 $\pi \rho a ́ t \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ a ̈ к о \nu \tau о s . ~$

Were ave right in laying down the principle that we must never do injustice？Yes．Not even when it is done to us？It seems so．And is not doing ill to otbers unjust？It is．Revenge therefore is absolutely unlaruful，in spite of qubat the many think and say．If you admit this，be sure you do so with a whole beart：for everything binges upon it．I do：say on．

 нá入ıбта oìn．

KP．＇А入入à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma о \mu а \iota . ~$














KP．Фанév．

KP．Oỉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ ．


C KP．Ov̉ фаívєтац．


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ́ ~ \phi a \sigma \iota, ~ \delta i ́ k a \iota o v ~ \eta ̂ ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta i ́ к a \iota o v ; ~$

KP. Ov̉ঠauติs.
 ov̉ס̀є̀ $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$.

KP. 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Ойтє ă $\rho a$ àvtaôıкє̂̂v $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ оv้тє какө̂s $\pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ov̉-



 ко七ข̀ $\beta$ оv $\eta$, ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ à $\nu a ́ \gamma к \eta ~ \tau о v ́ т о v s ~ a ̀ ~ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ к а \tau а ф \rho о \nu є i ̂ \nu, ~$

 Є̀vt $\epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \mathcal{V}$ ß



 ठє̀ Є̇ $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota s$ тоі̂s $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon v$, тò $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о v ̂ \tau о ~ a ̆ к о v \epsilon . ~$


Is it just to keep one's covenants? res. But if I escape from prison, shall I not break my covenants, and do ill to the city? I am not prepared to say, Socrates.

 є̇ $\xi a \pi a \tau \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \cup \nu$;

KP. По七ๆтє́ov.


 $\sigma a \mu \in \nu$ ठıкаíoเs ovิ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ท̂ ov้;



Then look at the matter in this way. Suppose that, when I was in the act of escaping, the laws and commonwealth were to come to me and say-'What are you about, Socrates? Are you not doing your best to destroy us and the city?' Am I to tell them that the city was doing a wrong to me?



 monwealth and the $\hat{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$
B $\grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \mu ' ́ \rho o s ; ~ \hat{\eta}$










KР. Tav̂та ขท̀ $\Delta \hat{\iota}, \widehat{\omega} \Sigma \omega ́ \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon s$.

But they may reply-'Is this your agreement, Socrates? Were you not born and bred and educated under our auspices? If the child bas not equal rights as against bis parent, much less has the citizen as against bis country. It is your business simply to submit.



































 каi $\theta \omega \pi \epsilon$ ย́єьv $\pi a \tau \rho i ̂ ̀ a ~ \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a i ́ v o v \sigma a \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$, каi $\hat{\eta}$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \eta ~ \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ v, \hat{\eta} \sigma v \chi i ́ a \nu$ ăүovta, є̇áv $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$







 à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v$ тov̀s vó $\mu o v s, \hat{\eta}$ ovै ;

' After all we bad done for you we still left you free to go or stay. By staying you bave declared in fact that you are content with us. And if you try to do violence to us now, we declare you guilty of impiety, ingratitude and breach of contract.



















 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \tau \iota \pi о \iota \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu, \mid \pi \rho о \tau \iota \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì ov̉к ảyрíws 52

 $\pi о เ \in \hat{\text { L }}$.
'To you, Socrates, above all men, does this argument apply. For you bave been distinguished by your fondness for the city, and bave raised children bere. Moreover you might bave had your sentence commuted for banishment. But you talked big during your trial about braving death: whbereas nowv you are meanly running arway, like a slave from bis master.

Taútaıs $\delta \dot{\eta}$ фацєข каì $\sigma \epsilon ́, ~ \overparen{\omega}$ इढ́кратєs, таîs aitíaıs

























 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
'Howv then can you deny that you are breaking a covenant, which you were neither forced nor cajoled nor burried into? In spite of your praise of Lacedaemon and Crete, it is Athens that you bave alquays chosen to live in. Then abide by your agreement to the end.





$\Sigma \Omega$. "A入入o $\tau \iota$ ov̂v, âv фaîєv, ท̂ $\xi v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$













 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \dot{\nu}$.
> 'For what good will come of your transgression? Your friends will run the risk of exile or confiscation of property. And you must either go to law-abiding cities, where you will be looked upon with mistrust, or else to lawless Thessaly, where they may relish the story of your escape-so long as you do not offend them. You will spend your last years there on sufferance like a parasite. And then how about the talk of virtue?




































'But you wish to live for your cbildren's sake! Do you mean to give them the advantage of an education in Thessaly? Or zvill your friends look after them, if you go to another country, but not if you go to the other world?










' No, Socrates, take advice from us who nurtured you, and set neither cbildren nor your own life before justice, that so, sinned against, but not sinning, you may with a clear conscience confront our brethren, the eternal laws of the world beyond.'











入ovs каì $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a$ каì $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s, ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a \nu o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$




Such, Crito, is the strain that keeps bumming in my ears, and renders them impervious to ought else. Nevertheless, if you have anything to say against this, say on. I have not, Socrates. So be it then, since God so guides us.





 $\pi о \iota \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$.

E $\Sigma \Omega$. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{E} a$ roívvv, $\widehat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$, каì $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \mu \in \nu$ таv́т $\eta$,
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## NOTES

KPIT $\Omega$ N] An Athenian of the deme of Alopece, to which Socrates also belonged. Throughout life he played to the philosopher the part of a 'fidus Achates.' It is recorded indeed on the authority of Demetrius of Byzantium, a writer of whom we know very little, that Crito took Socrates away from his trade and educated him, being struck with his mental ability (Diog. Laert. II. § 20, ad fin.). But the fact that they were contemporaries (Apol. 33 E; ep. Euthyd. $272 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{D}$ ) renders this story improbable. However that may be, the wealth of Crito was always at the service of his friend. It was Crito who ministered to his wants through life (D. L. II. § 121) ; it was Crito who went bail for his appearance before the dicasts Phaedo 115 D ; it was Crito among others who guaranteed to pay a fine for him, if the sentence of death were commuted (Apol. 38 B ); and finally it is Crito who in this dialogue is represented as bribing the jailer and making other arrangements for the escape of Socrates. In return for this affectionate solicitude Socrates gave Crito good advice. He pointed out to Crito how to secure himself against the machinations of informers by keeping an honest man to act as a sort of watch-dog (Xen. Mem. II. 9). The intimate relations between the pair of friends is graphically indicated in the Phaedo ( $60 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ), where Socrates, on the morning before he drank the hemlock, wishing to be rid of the clamorous lamentations of poor Xianthippe, gave a glance at Crito and said, 'Let somebody take her home'; 'and so some of Crito's people took her away, crying and beating her breast.' A friend in those days was dearer than a wife; and so it fell to Crito to close the eyes of Socrates, when they were fixed in death (Phaedo 118).

The principal occupation of Crito seems to have been the care of his property, which he did not let the pursuit of philosophy interfere with (Euthyd. 304 C). He was possessed of landed estates (Euthyd. 291 E). We read in this dialogue ( $45 \mathrm{C}, 53 \mathrm{D}$ ) that he had friends in Thessaly, with whom he offered Socrates a safe retreat, if he would fly there. Crito had two sons, Critobulus and another considerably younger (Euthyd. 306 D ), about whose education he was anxious.

Although the qualities of his heart were more conspicuous than those of his head, Crito was not without pretensions to philosophy, his admiration for which he is made naively to express in the
 $\eta$ фiлобофía. Nor was this admiration without result, if we may credit the statement of Diogenes Laertius (II. § 12I), that he was the author of a book containing seventeen dialogues on the following thoroughly Socratic subjects-

1. That goodness does not come by nature. 2. On self-aggrandisement. 3. What is expediency?-or the Statesman. 4. On the beautiful. 5. On doing ill. 6. On tidiness. 7. On law. 8. On the divine nature. 9. On arts. 10. On social intercourse. 11. On wisdom. 12. Protagoras or the Statesman. 13. On letters. 14. On poetry. 15. On learning. 16. On knowing or about knowledge. 17. What is knowledge ?
$\eta$ ท̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ трактє́ov] That is to say, the discussion turns upon a point of conduct.
$\dot{\eta} \theta$ cós $]$ This is one of the eight 'infimae species' to which the works of Plato were referred by the ancients, as the result of a subtle process of division. The Apology was thrown under the same head.
 т $\eta \nu \iota \kappa a ́ \delta \epsilon$ áфíкov; In Phaedo 76 B , aủpıov $\tau \eta \nu ı \kappa a ́ \delta \epsilon$, it means simply ' at this time,' without the exclamatory force which it has here. $\tau \eta \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \delta \epsilon$ is a strengthened form of $\tau \eta \nu i k a$, the demonstrative corresponding to the interrogative $\pi \eta \nu_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \alpha$ and the relative $\eta_{\nu i \prime k}$. For the termination cp. aủтíka.
$\left.\pi \rho \psi^{\prime}\right]$ The Attic form of $\pi \rho \omega i ́$, ' mane.' $\pi \rho \varphi^{\prime}$ is read here in spite of the MSS., which give $\pi \rho \omega i$ i. In Aristophanes it always scans as a

 generally, there is no need of a counterbalancing $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$. It has often been remarked that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ are weaker forms of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\eta}$.

П $\eta$ víкa $\mu$ á $\lambda_{\imath \tau \tau}$; ; ] 'About what o'clock is it ?'
"Op $\theta \rho$ os $\beta$ a日ús] 'Early dawn.' "O $\rho \theta \rho o s$ is the time before sunrise.



 at which Crito had contrived to obtain admission. On previous occasions Socrates' friends had been kept waiting for some time until the prison was opened- $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \varphi^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \tau o \gamma \partial{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ oủ $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\varphi}$ (Phaedo $59 \mathrm{D})$.
 ímakoviєty is to hear and obey or answer. For the former sense cp.
 ' $\theta \in \sigma t \quad \pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, ' under the idea that those who have been trained in virtuous habits will lend a willing ear'; for the latter cp. Soph. 217 D, пávtes $\gamma$ àp ímakov́боvtaí бol $\pi \rho a ́ \omega s$, said of a number of youths all of whom were ready to act as respondents in dialectic. 'Auscultare' in Latin, with its French equivalent 'écouter,' and our own word 'hearken,' have occasionally the same double meaning.
 The same idea is conveyed still more delicately in Aristophanes,
 omission of the augment, required by the perf. in place of reduplication, cp. Rep. ${ }^{1}{ }^{15}$ B, є $\dot{\in} \in \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa o ́ t \epsilon s$. The augment proper is commonly omitted by Xenophon, e. g. Ages. IV. § 4 , $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \in \rho \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime}$, Apol. § 26, є兀̇єр $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau o v \nu$ (Dindorf). The augmenting of the syllable $\epsilon \dot{v}-$, however, is a point on which there is much diversity both among MSS. and editors.

ध́ttelkês] The Scholiast notes this as a favourite word with Plato. The combination $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \hat{\omega} s \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota$ recurs in Theaet. 142 A .

Eita] Used just like our 'then,' to indicate surprise. Cp. Meno B 7 I C, Eîta oủk ėסóret oot cỉóévau;
$\mu \grave{\alpha}$ ròv $\left.\Delta i^{\prime}\right]$ For the use of the article cp. Meno 82 A , ov̉ $\mu a ̀$ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ $\Delta^{\prime} a: 9^{8} \mathrm{~B}, \nu \grave{\eta}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} \nu \Delta^{\prime} a$. More often the article is omitted, as in Apol. ${ }_{17} \mathrm{~B}, 26 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$, Meno 83 B . $\nu \dot{\prime}$ marks an affirmative, $\mu \alpha ́ a$ a negative oath, except where vai precedes it, in which case the oath is strongly affirmative, as $\nu a i ̀ \mu a ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ a . ~$
oúס' âv aúrós к.т.ג.] 'I could wish that I myself were not thus awake and in sorrow.'
 This displacement of the conjunction becomes intelligible, if we supply тoбaút? again before $\lambda \dot{n} \eta \eta$, so that the full expression would

 кaì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ iaтр七кŋ́v.-Stallbaum.

бov̂ $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega]$＇Jamdudum te miror．＇For $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ with


סıáyots］Sc．rò̀ रpóvov．סıáyoıs is Hirschig＇s emendation for $\delta \iota a ́ \gamma \eta s$, which is read by Hermann and Schanz．סá $\gamma \eta$ s is intelligible if we suppose Crito to contemplate the possibility of Socrates resuming his sleep．


 opposite of $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$＇s．Here render＇a stupidity．＇

т $\eta$ 入ıкov̂тov ővтa］＇At such an age．＇Socrates was now 70. See $5^{2} \mathrm{E}$ and Apol．I7 D．The words agree with $\tau \iota v a$ ，which is latent in $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aктєiv as its subject．This suppression of the subj．of an infin．is common enough．Cp．Apol． 29 A ，हoкєîv бó́ov єîvaı $\mu \eta$ ŏ $\nu \tau \alpha$ ．
$\tau \eta \lambda_{\iota} \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ os is sometimes used without distinction of person，as here and in Parm． 136 D．But as a rule $\tau \eta \lambda \iota 九 o ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ belongs to the ist person and $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa o \hat{v} \tau o s$ to the 2 nd ．As instances of $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \iota$ 七ó $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ used by the speaker of himself，either alone or along with others，take 49 A ad fin．，Apol． 34 E， 37 D，Theaet． 377 D，Parm． 136 D， Laws 634 D ；and as instances of $\tau \eta \lambda_{\text {urovitos used of the person }}$ spoken to take Prot．36i E，Gorg． 466 A， 489 B，Menex． 234 B．

 Meno $7_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ ），so that it has sometimes to be rendered＇youth，＇e．g． Arist．E．N．I．9，§ 10，sometimes＇manhood，＇Lysis 209 A，and sometimes，as here and in Lach． 180 D，＇old age．＇From＇age＇ $\hat{\eta}$ خıcía comes to mean＇appearance of age，＇Euthyd． 27 I B，Demosth． 1024 ad fin．，and so easily passes into the sense of＇stature，＇which it bears in the N．T．（Mat．vi． 27 ，Luke xii． 25 ，xix．3）and perhaps in Hdt．III． 16.
rò $\mu \eta$ ท̀ oúxi］When a verb denoting＇hindrance or freedom from anything＇is itself negatived，as here（oủס̇̀v av̉rov̀s＇$\in \pi \downarrow \lambda$ v́єтal， ＇does not at all release them from＇），the double negative $\mu \eta$ o $o \dot{v}$ is generally used rather than the simple $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the infinitive． See Goodwin＇s Greek Grammar § 263 ．Cp．Rep． 354 B，oủk ảmє－
 the infinitive with rô might have been used here in place of the
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a t$ ．
 with its correlative of $\mu a \theta \eta \pi \eta^{\prime}$ s for his hearers．See Apol． 33 A，

I. $2, \S 3$. Socrates declared that he investigated, but did not teach, and that whoever wished was welcome to investigate along with him. Accordingly his circle of admirers are always indicated by


 with a like meaning, such as oi $\sigma v \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \in s$ aủ $\hat{\varphi}$, oi $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota$, of $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho i ́ \beta o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, oi $\delta \mu \iota \lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ av̉тب̣ (IV. 7, § 1 ), oi $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́\} o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, oi $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ éavtov̂ (IV. 2, § 1), oi $\sigma v v \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ (IV. 8, § 2). Aristippus also, in speaking to Plato, talks of Socrates as $\dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ raîpos $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Arist. Rhet. II. $2_{3}$, § 12 ). Cp. Euthyd. 305 A and the close of the Phaedo,
 Hist. of Gireece vol. viii. p. 212 , note 3 (1884).
èv roîs $\beta$ apv́rar'] 'Will find it hardest perhaps to bear.' The old explanation of this idiom, given among others by Stallbaum, is
 Bapútara àv $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\gamma} \kappa \alpha \iota \mu$. This theory is based on the supposition that roîs is here nothing more than the article. It is certainly not strengthened by the fact that ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{s}$ may be followed by a feminine adjective. Thus in Thuc. III. 8r, § 6 we have '̇v roîs
 $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$, 'one of the very largest naval forces.' Probably the true explanation of the origin of the phrase is to be found in the early use of the article as a pronoun, a force which is clearly marked
 But though the same force might be thrust upon the words $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs both here and in other passages (e.g. 52 A below, kaì oủ $\ddot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha}$
 Athenians, but most among them'), this force was probably not present to the mind of the user. The fact seems to be that the formula degenerated into unintelligibility, so that $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ tois came to be a mere adverbial expression whereby any superlative, whether adjective or adverb, might be softened. Hence it was prefixed equally whether the adjective were masculine or feminine. Thus

 186 A), 'perhaps the most.' So here Crito, instead of claiming a supremacy of woe, softens down ßapúrata into ċv тoîs ßapútaтa, out of respect for the other friends of Socrates. Cp. Thuc. VII. 7 r , § 4 , $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ voîs $\chi a \lambda \in \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \pi a \tau a \quad \delta i \eta \gamma \gamma \nu$, 'fared among the worst.' The fact that a more regular expression is sometimes found, in which $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ rois agrees with the adjective following, is only an instance of the reaction of language against the unintelligible. Thus in Charm.

 т $ิ$ ข $\nu ข ิ \nu$.

Tíva тav́тŋv] Supply ф'́pets. We have here one of the many varieties of attraction. In its full logical completeness the sentence
 gative and demonstrative are accommodated to the case of the relative, and all but they omitted.
rò $\pi \lambda$ oiov ] It is explained in the Phaedo ( $58 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ) that, according to Athenian tradition, this was the very ship in which Theseus sailed to Crete with the seven youths and seven maidens whom he rescued from the Minotaur. The Athenians had made a vow to Apollo that, if these victims were saved, they would send every year a sacred embassy to the island of Delos. During the time of this $\theta \in \omega$ pia, which was reckoned from the moment when the priest of Apollo crowned the stern of the vessel until its return to Athens, the city was kept pure from pollution, and no public executions were allowed. This time might be long or short according to the state of wind and weather. Now it happened that the ceremony of crowning had taken place the day before the trial of Socrates. Hence the philosopher was kept in prison until the return of the vessel, which in this instance was unusually delayed owing to its being the time of the quinquennial festival of Apollo at Delos. Xenophon (Mem. IV. 8, § 2) tells us that thirty days intervened between the trial and death of Socrates.
 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ тод入ákıs $\tau \in \theta \nu a ́ v a \iota$. In all these passages we might have
 strict meaning of $\tau \in \theta \nu$ ával is, not 'to die,' but 'to be dead.' Cp. Phaedo 64 C, where the word is defined, and Gorg. 493 A, $\dot{w} s \nu \hat{v} v$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu$, 'that in our present state we are dead' (Cope). In Apol. $40 \mathrm{C} \tau \epsilon \theta \nu$ ávaı admits of being taken in its strict sense of the

 This sense is clearly marked in Phaedo 7I C-E, where it is laid down that $\tau \grave{o} \tau \epsilon \theta \nu a a^{2} a l$ is the state which is the opposite of $\tau \grave{\delta} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$, as sleeping is the opposite of waking. Toे $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, we are there told, is the proper word to express the transition from $\tau \grave{\delta} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ to $\tau \grave{o}$ $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \alpha ́ v a \iota$, just as т̀̀ катаסapөávєıv expresses the transition from тò


Soкєî $\mu$ '́v ] 'I think (though I would not venture to affirm).' After a negative $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots \mu^{\prime} \nu$ is used without $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ following. The force of the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in such cases would be represented in English only

 hypothesis.' Stallbaum has collected the following other instances of this usage-Theaet. 201 B, Soph. 240 B, Phaedrus $24^{2}$ C, Prot. 344 A, Rep. 475 E, of which it will be sufficient to quote the last-
 clause with $\delta \epsilon$ is supplied by the next question-Toùs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ád $\eta \theta_{\iota \nu} \nu o u ́ s$, є́ $\phi \eta$, тivas, $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \epsilon s$;
 $\delta о к \epsilon i \nu$ as an alternative reading for $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{i}$. For this absolute use of the infinitive we may compare Meno 8i A, 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu о \iota \gamma \epsilon \delta о к \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, каi
 סeiv (Apol. 22 A).

Eouviou] A promontory forming the south-east corner of the Athenian territory. A ship would pass it on coming from Delos.
[ $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ á $\gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \omega v$ ] These words are suspected of being a gloss or explanatory comment which has crept into the text. If they are omitted, ék $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ oúr $\omega$ v will naturally be taken as neuter, referring to $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{\omega} \mathfrak{v}$. There seems however to be no good reason to doubt their genuineness.
tis aúpiov] 'On the morrow.' Cp. Il. VIII. 538 , $\mathfrak{\eta} \in \lambda i o u$ ảvtóvtos
 In Hellenistic Greek this use of $\epsilon$ is became common.
rúxn áya日 $\hat{\eta}$ ] 'Good luck attend it.' Lat. 'quod bene vortat' or 'quod bonum felix faustumque sit.' Cp. Phil. 57 E, Symp. 177 E , Laws $625^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' $\omega \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{v} \chi \eta \eta$. The formula is appropriate to occasions of solemnity. Thus in the terms of the truce between the Athenians and Lacedaemonians we read $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ (moved)
 § 7).
 and see note on 54 E .
$\left.\hat{\eta} \eta{ }_{\eta}\right]$ In full $\hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \hat{\eta}$. The $\eta_{\eta}$ is due to the comparative 44 A


 in Apol. 39 E.
 46 A below, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ vuктós. Cp . the adj. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota o v ́ \sigma \iota o s$, which occurs only in two passages of the N. T., Matthew vi. II
 day.'
 the time when dreams are true. Hor. Sat. I, 10. 33,
post mediam noctem visus cum somnia vera. Cp. Moschus, Idyll II. 2, 5,


$\tau a v ́ \tau \eta s$
кเvסขvยบ́єis] 'It may be that you'-a favourite mode of expression with Plato.
 who is described as $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \lambda \in v \kappa \bar{\eta}($ Xen. Mem. II. I, § 22 ).
 deep-soiled land of Phthiotis.' Adapted from Homer II. IX. 363 , where Achilles speaks of going home-

Even so the vision speaks to Socrates of going home. Cicero (De Div. I. § $5^{2}$ ) translates the line thus-
'Tertia te Phthiae tempestas laeta locabit.'
This incident of the dream, like that of the proposal to escape from prison (see note on $\mathrm{C}, \eta \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \theta v \mu \nu v \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ) is connected by Diogenes Laertius (II. § 35) with Aeschines instead of Crito-



'Evapyès $\mu \grave{\mathrm{c} v} \boldsymbol{v i n v ] ~ ' N a y , ~ i t s ~ m e a n i n g ~ i s ~ p l a i n . ' ~ T h e ~ f o r c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~}$ particles $\mu \epsilon \nu \nu$ oủv is corrective, like that of 'immo vero' in Latin. For évapyés cp. Hom. Od. IV. 84 r,


¿ Saıpóvıє] A frequent form of address in Plato, indicative of astonishment, real or assumed (cp. its use in Meno 92 C ). Perhaps we may render it here 'you strange being.' The copiousness of the Greek language and the dramatic versatility of Plato supply him with suitable forms of address for every shade of feeling. Thus we





тov̂ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l] \quad \tau o \hat{v}$ is a correction by the editors for the $\sigma \hat{v}$ of the MSS. Hermann retains $\sigma o \hat{v}$ and defends the omission of rov̂ by
 ámáv $\omega \omega \nu$.


 $\gamma^{\prime} \cup \eta \tau a$. It is generally an aorist subjunctive that is used with oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$, but not always, e.g. Rep. 341 C , ả̀ $\lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\mu \eta$ oiós $\tau^{\prime} \eta_{j} \mathrm{~s}$. Instances with ou $\delta$ ei's, such as we have here, are not nearly so common as those

 destitute of colour.' This form of expression conveys an emphatic denial. As to its origin different views are taken. On the one hand there is the explanation given by Goodwin (Greek Grammar § 257 ) that the double negative is merely for emphasis, and that the subjunctive is a relic of the old Homeric usage, in which it is equivalent to a future, as in II. I. 262,

$$
\text { ov̉ үáp } \pi \omega \text { toíous ťôov ảvépas, ov̉ס̀̀ i̋ iou } \omega \mu \iota \text {. }
$$

On the other hand there is the hypothesis that the expression originated in an ellipse of some such word as $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v^{\nu}$. There is a good deal to be said for the latter view, inasmuch as we find passages in which the expression occurs in full, e.g. Apol. 28 B ,
 Gorg. 520 D , oủ $\delta \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu$ av̉ $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi} \mu \eta \eta_{\pi o \tau \epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.
$\left.\epsilon \mathrm{TL} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right]$ This is the most perplexing $\delta \epsilon \in$ in Plato, and it is a comfort to find that Schanz has eliminated it, reading ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$. With this reading we may add the $\chi \omega \rho i s \mu^{\prime} \nu$ preceding to the cases of ov̉ . . . ả à $\lambda \alpha$. . . $\mu^{\prime} \dot{v} \nu$ collected by Stallbaum. See note on 43 D above, $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$. The peculiar awkwardness of this $\delta \epsilon$ ties in the fact that we have here $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in one and the same sentence.

ஸs oiós $\left.\tau^{\prime} \omega_{v}\right]$ Apparently $\omega^{\prime} s$ coalesces with oiós $\tau^{\prime} \not \ddot{\omega}^{2} \nu$. Otherwise $\mathbf{C}$ we would have a violent change of constr. in $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \mathrm{a}$, since the $\dot{\omega} s$ preceding would have prepared us for the finite form $\eta^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma a$ here.
$\hat{\eta}$ סoкeiv] The comparative particle $\eta^{\eta}$ merely serves to carry out the force of the genitive of comparison (тav́r $\eta$ s), which precedes it. So in Gorg. 500 C we have $o \mathfrak{v}$, 'than which,' reinforced by $\hat{\eta}$ tov̂to.
 Diogenes Laertius (II. § 60 and III. § 36 ) the advice to escape from prison was really tendered to Socrates by Aeschines (the Socratic), whose name Plato suppressed out of enmity. Idomeneus (about B.C. $3^{10-270)}$ was a disciple of Epicurus, and the author of a


judgment of the $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon i \hat{S}$ therefore would be at once wise and charitable.
av̉cá] 'The facts.' This vague use of the pronoun without any distinct antecedent is more common in the singular than in the plural. For the pl. cp. 46 C ; Prot. 329 B , $\mathfrak{\omega}$ s aủrà $\delta \eta \lambda o i ̂, ~ ' a s ~ t h e ~$ facts prove.'
av $\left.\pi \rho a x^{0} \hat{\eta}\right]$ 'That the facts have occurred in the way they have.' We should have a fut. perf. here in Latin.
D $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a \ldots$. . ǒ $\tau$ t] 'Show that,' lit. 'are evident that'-a construction of the same type as $\delta i \kappa \alpha u$ ós $\epsilon i \mu \iota$ (see 45 A , $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha u$ oi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \in \nu$ ). It is more common with living subjects, e. g. Gorg. $448 \mathrm{D}, \delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \mu o \iota ~ \Pi \omega ̂ \lambda o s ~$ . . ö $\tau$. . . $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
ėv av̉roîs $\delta \mathrm{La} \beta \in \beta \lambda_{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$ vos n̂] 'Has been misrepresented to them.'
 a regret, or a wish that is not expected to be realised. Ei by itself is intelligible in expressing a wish, if we suppose the apodosis to be suppressed; $\ddot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ is also intelligible in expressing a regret: but the combination of the two could only arise after the original force of each had been lost.

Iva oioí $\tau \in \eta \jmath \sigma a v]$ 'So that they might have been able.' We have iva with the indicative, when a purpose is made to depend upon some unfulfilled condition, or, as here, some unaccomplished wish. Other instances of this use of iva in Plato are Theaet. 161 C, Symp. 181 E, Euthyd. 304 E, Prot. 335 C, Meno 89 B, iva $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ aủroùs $\delta$ 的 $\phi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$. ő $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ is used in the same way in
 struction with ws occurs in the Tragedians, e. g. Soph. Oed. T. I392; Eur. Hipp. 930, ro79,

 and is common in Lysias.
á $\left.\gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \notin \downarrow \sigma \tau a\right]$ A rhetorical inversion with a view to the chiasmus-

## та̀ $\mu$ є́ $\gamma เ \sigma \tau \alpha$ кака́ <br> ả $\gamma \alpha \theta a ̀$ tà $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$.

ov่ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ oioí $\tau \epsilon$ ] An accusative is found in the same way after

 тà $\mu a \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ a ̉ \delta v ́ v a t o s: ~ M e n o ~ 94 ~ B, ~ a ̉ \delta v \nu a ́ t o v s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu ' ́ v a l ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~$


фpóvıนov] $\phi$ póv $\eta \sigma \iota$, according to Socrates, was the only unconditional good. All other things were good or bad according as
they were directed by $\phi$ póv $\eta \sigma t s$ or not. See Meno 88 B, C. Cp. the concluding words of Cebetis Tabula, ả入入à tò фpoveîv $\mu$ óvov ả $\gamma a \theta \dot{o} v$, тò $\delta \grave{e}$ áqpoveiv kaкóv, and the statement of Diogenes Laertius


ǒ $\tau$ âv $\boldsymbol{\tau u ́ x} \boldsymbol{x} \sigma \stackrel{v}{ }$ ] 'As they may,' and so often, as here, 'anyhow,' 'at random.' In 45 D below we have the same phrase again, ö $\tau \iota$ $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \tau \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \sigma \iota, \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi o v \sigma \iota, ~ ' t h e y ~ w i l l ~ f a r e ~ a s ~ t h e y ~ m a y . ' ~ C p . ~ S y m p . ~$ 181 B ; Prot. 353 B; Gorg. 522 C ; Arist. E. N. IV. 3, § 21, aùrò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ '̈ $\tau \iota$ ầ $\tau u ́ \chi \omega \omega \iota \iota \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota, ~ ' t h e y ~ t h e m s e l v e s ~ a c t ~ a n y h o w . ' ~ ' ~$

Tav̂тa . . Tá $\delta \epsilon]$ Since ö $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is properly the demonstrative of the $\mathbf{E}$ Ist person and oivtos of the 2 nd, it follows that ö $\delta \epsilon$ with its derivatives is naturally used in introducing a speech or idea, while ovitos with its derivatives is used in referring to what has already been mentioned: for what a speaker has said is already in possession of his hearer ; whereas what he is going to say can be known only to himself. Cp.

 öтаע тои̂то $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$.
á $\rho a ́ ~ \gamma \in \mu \eta ̀ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho o \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i}]$ 'You are not concerned for me: are you?' The particles indicate a suspicion that Socrates is thus concerned.
of oukoфávтal] It is a hard saying in Liddell and Scott that ' $\sigma$ ккофávтทs in the sense of an informer never occurs.' In Aristoph. Acharn. 820 the $\sigma v \kappa o \phi a ̈ \tau \eta$ s comes on the stage saying
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a$ каì $\sigma \epsilon ́$.
'Then I'll inform against these porkers as contraband of war, and against you too.' He ends by getting exported as an article which they had too much of at Athens.

The word was derived by the ancients from $\sigma \hat{v} \kappa o v$ and $\phi$ aiva, the story being that there was a law at Athens against the exportation of figs, and that those who brought instances of its violation under the notice of the Archons received the name of бvкoфávtal. This derivation is given by Athenaeus ( $74 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ ) on the authority of two writers, and Plutarch (Solon, ch. xxiv) does not think it improbable. It is certain that under the constitution of Solon the laws against exportation were very strict. Whatever the origin of the word may have been, it came to be used of anyone who made a trade of accusing.
$\left.\pi р а ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \chi \omega \sigma เ v\right]$ Crito spoke from experience. He had suffered so much from these gentry himself that at last, by the advice of Socrates, he protected himself by engaging the services of a poor but honest man, named Archedemus, who made it his
business to acquaint himself with the misdeeds of the sycophants， and render the game of law less attractive to them（Xen．Mem． II．9）．
45 A éarov aủzò Xaípetv］＇Bid good－bye to it．＇A common phrase． Cp．Phil． 59 C，Euthyd． 307 B，\＆c．It recurs in 46 D．Cp．＇valere jubeo＇in Latin．
 （44 A）and in $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ ．．．ö $\iota$（ 44 D ）of the preference of Greek for a personal where we should use an impersonal construction．The idiom is specially frequent with this word סíkalos，e．g．Apol． 18 A，

 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \mathrm{~V}$ ．The employment of the neut．pl．is rarer，as in Menex． 237 D ，ঠíкаıa $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \theta a$ ．$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ is used in the same way，e．g． Euthyphro 14 B，$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s \epsilon \hat{i}$ ；and words like $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ ，such as $\phi$ av $\rho o ́ s$,
 катádך入os， 46 D，Prot． $34^{2}$ B．àvaүкaios also is found similarly constructed in a few passages－Soph． 242 B ；Gorg． 449 C ；Laws 643 C．Cp．the use of numerals in－aios，like tpıтaíos．

кıvסvvev́eเv ．．．кívסvvov］A cognate accusative seldom merely repeats the verb．There is generally some increase of definiteness， such as that which is furnished here by the demonstrative and the article，or by the article alone，as in Gorg． 483 C ，vò̀s émaivous ＇̇ $\pi$ aııvov̂бı kaì roùs 廿ógous $\psi$＇́rovoı，＇they praise，when they praise， and they blame，when they blame＇；Theaet． 169 B ，ov̀̀ סєे kat＇
 act，in what you are doing，more after the fashion of Antaeus．＇
 Plato to mark an earnest request．The formula is repeated below （ 46 A ），at the end of Crito＇s speech，in a more emphatic form． There，as here，it follows $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ov，after which it is a pleonasm：but it has a tendency to be used in this superfluous way．Cp．Phaedo

 begged Parmenides to give them an illustration of what he spoke of， and not to refuse them their request＇；Rep． $328 \mathrm{~B}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{i}$


Kaì тavิтa $\pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta$ ov̂paı к．т．入．］＇I am concerned both for that and for many other things．＇This is an answer to the question above，

 $\lambda \epsilon ' \gamma \omega, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$ к．.$\lambda$. ．，where the counterbalancing clause with $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ is supplied．

## © . . . גaßóvtes] 'For which.'

 also on $\dot{\omega}$ s, 'how cheap these informers are, and how there would be no need of much money to spend upon them.'
ikuvá] Crito was able to leave his son Critobulus a very wealthy $\mathbf{B}$ man, as we gather from the Oeconomicus of Xenophon.

кai . . . $\kappa \eta \delta \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \in v o s$ ] 'Even if, out of regard for me.'
$\xi$ gévol ovitol év $\theta$ d́ $\delta \epsilon$ ] 'There are the strangers whom you know of here ' (i. e. in Athens).

$\Sigma \mu \mu i a s$ o $\Theta_{\eta} \beta$ aios $]$ A friend and compatriot of Cebes. They had both studied under Philolaus the Pythagorean before joining Socrates (Phaedo 6I D), but were still young at the time of Socrates' death (Ib. 89 A ). In the Phaedrus ( 242 B ) Socrates is made to speak of him as beyond all comparison the most eager disputant of his day. He and Cebes support the principal parts, next to Socrates, in the dialogue of the Phaedo; and the famous analogy of the soul to a harmony is there put into the mouth of Simmias ( $85 \mathrm{E}-86 \mathrm{D}$ ). He was the author of a book containing twenty-three dialogues, the titles of which may be read in Diogenes Laertius (II. § 124 ).

K'́ $\beta \eta \mathrm{p}$ ] Cebes, like his friend Simmias, was drawn from Thebes to Athens by the magic of Socrates (Xen. Mem. III. II, § 17 ; cp. I. 2, § 48). According to Aulus Gellius (II. 18, copied by Macrobius, Sat. I. II) and Lactantius (III. 24 ad fin.) it was Cebes who, at the suggestion of Socrates, rescued Phaedo from a state of slavery, though Diogenes Laertius (II. §§ 3I, 105) is inclined to assign this honour to Crito. Only three dialogues are ascribed to him -
 less voluminous author than his friend Simmias, he was a much more successful one. For the first of these, the חivak or Tabula Cebetis, has been one of the books of all time. It is a sort of classical anticipation of the Pilgrim's Progress. The style is the purest Attic, however much Cebes in moments of excitement may have been liable to break into his native Boeotian (Phaedo 62 A, "I $\tau \tau \omega \mathrm{Z} \in u$ śs. Cp. Aristoph. Acharn. 860). Cebes is described by his friend Simmias in the Phaedo as being the most difficult of men to convince
 doubt reflects the experience of Plato himself, with whom Cebes was on intimate terms (see Letters 363 A). At all events we find the Cebetis Tabula, which internal evidence shows to have been composed late in life, written from exactly the point of view assigned to Cebes in the Phaedo-that of one who admitted with Pythagoras the
previous existence，but doubted the subsequent duration，of the soul． The Mivag is twice referred to by Lucian（De Mercede Conductis 42 ； Rhetorum Praeceptor 6）as the work of＇the great Cebes＇（ $\delta$ Ḱ $\beta \eta \eta$ s èteivos）．
$\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ò $\pi a ́ v v]$ This position of $\pi a ́ v v$ after the adjective or adverb which it strengthens is common enough both in Plato and other authors，e．g．Apol． 21 B，$\mu$ ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ss $\pi a ́ v v: ~ M e n e x . ~ 235 ~ A, ~ \gamma є v v a i \omega s ~ \pi a ́ v v: ~$
 Tab．XV．ỏ入íyoı пávv，ảváßaбıs $\sigma \tau \epsilon v \eta े ~ \pi a ́ v v . ~$
ö $\pi \in \rho \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega]$＇As I say．＇
 fly as due merely to want of energy．
 corresponding to this in the Apology of Xenophon．

 av̉rois．

ajyanท́ $\sigma$ ovoí $\sigma \epsilon$ ］＇They will be glad to have you．＇
 friends in foreign parts，who would receive them if they came there． Cp．Eur．Alc．559－
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha v \tau \grave{v} v \pi \rho o \delta o \hat{v}$ ai $]$ Explanatory of $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ ．Cp．Meno ヶ6 A，


égóv］An instance of a construction which might be called ＇neuter absolute．＇It is commonly classed under the head of ＇accusative absolute．＇The participles of ci $\mu i$ and its compounds
 （Eur．Alc．284）；so are those of impersonal verbs generally，as $\delta$ 白ov，
 less often the active participles of personal verbs，such as $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa o \nu$（Eur．
 § 5）；and perhaps least often passive participles，as $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi a \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu$ ， єíp $\eta \mu$＇́ $\nu 0 \nu$ ．

そ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \sigma a v]$ This governs äm $\rho \rho$ ，like $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \epsilon \nu$ ，and is explained by $\sigma$ ह̀ $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \in i ̂ \rho a \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota . ~$
 while pres．in form，is perf．in meaning．
tò oòv $\mu$＇́pos］＇For＇your part．＇So below 50 B， 54 C ．
\％$\tau$ tàv $\tau$ v́X $\omega \sigma \iota$ ］See note on 44 D ，ő $\tau \iota a ̀ v \tau v ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．
$\epsilon i \omega \theta \epsilon]$ Perf. of $\epsilon \theta \omega$, but itself used in a present sense, like oî $\delta \alpha$


фá $\kappa$ коvтa к.т.入.] We might supply $\sigma \epsilon$ as subject to aipeíन $\theta a l$, for the participle to agree with. But perhaps the sentiment is expressed in the third person out of politeness. The suppression of riva is especially frequent after impersonal verbs and phrases, such as $\chi$ 防


 $\theta a \iota$, which are used of the defendant, while $\epsilon i \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used of the plaintiff. From the defendant it is transferred to the suit. Cp.



єio $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ] Another reading is $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, which would keep our attention fixed on $\delta i \kappa \eta$ as the subject throughout. The most recent editors are agreed in accepting it.
 by flight. Cron quotes Phaedo II5 D to show that Socrates was not in prison, but out on bail, previous to his trial, and so might have escaped had he been so minded.
$\delta \dot{\alpha} y \dot{\omega} v \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta i ́ k \eta s]$ The conduct of the trial. The metaphor is


kaì тò $\tau \in \lambda \in u \tau a \hat{i} o v . .$. Soкeiv] The construction here is extremely difficult. кai tò $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i ̂ o v ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ тovtí is evidently coordinate with кaì
 тò $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$. But instead of continuing with a construction parallel
 suddenly breaks off into an infinitive $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \phi \in v \gamma \in \mathcal{\nu} a \iota$, depending upon another infinitive $\delta o \kappa \epsilon i v$, which repeats $\mu \eta\rangle \quad \delta o ́ g \eta$ above. Thus the infinitive $\delta o \kappa \epsilon i v$ is here loosely put for $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \delta \sigma_{\xi} \eta$, as in Meno 96 E the equally troublesome infinitive $\delta \iota a \emptyset \epsilon v ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ seems to be loosely put for öтı סıaфєígєє. Translate 'And that-as though to crown the absurdity of the matter-this last resource should seem to have escaped our notice, through a certain cowardice and unmanliness of ours.' These last words are a repetition and extension, after Plato's manner, of ávav $\delta \rho i ́ a ̨ ~ \tau i v i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \rho a ̨$ above.

катá $\gamma \in \lambda \omega \mathbf{s}$ ] Lit. 'mockery,' 'derision.' Cp. Aristoph. Acharn. 1126-

and the pun upon the word in line 606 -


46 A $\delta$ anteфєuүéval] Professor Wagner tells us to translate 'to have kept out of danger' : but the prevailing meaning of the word in Plato is 'to escape notice,' like $\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$. Cp. Parm. $135 \mathrm{D}, \sigma \notin \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \xi \epsilon-$
 Maj. 294 E.
oĩtves] 'Seeing that we' = 'quippe qui.'





 aï $\chi$ Ібта.
Bov $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ov . . . $\beta \in \beta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \theta a t]$ ' But form your plans, or rather, there is no longer time for forming them,-they should be formed.' For the contrast between the pres. and the perf. cp. Charm. 176 C ,
 $\beta \in \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \mu \in \theta a$ : also Eur. Hip. ェ436, 7-


''Tis finished, my endurance.'-E. P. Coleridge.



 novs.
$\left.X^{a \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \omega \tau \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \rho a\right]$ ‘The more difficult to deal with,' 'dangerous.'
ov̉ $\mu$ óvov vûv] In place of this Schanz has oủ $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \rho \omega \hat{w}$ rov on the authority of an inscription, but against the MSS.

тotov̂тos] Supply $\epsilon i \mu i-a$ somewhat rare ellipse. totov̂tos $\epsilon i ̄ h$ has
 $\mu$ गे ai $\sigma \chi \hat{v} \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$.
$\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon \in \mu \hat{\omega}$ ] Perhaps neut., like $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ in $4^{8} \mathrm{~A}$ : but more probably masc. See next note.
$\tau \varphi ̣ ̂ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi]$ Plato is fond of speaking of 'the argument' in a quasipersonal way. Here Socrates is made to regard it as the most influential of his friends.
C $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \mathbf{v} \omega]$ 'Give precedence to.' Cp. Eur. Alc. 282 , $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in \dot{v} \sigma v \sigma a$. The word may contain a reference either to the respect due to old age or to the rights of primogeniture.
$\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega]$ Masc. sing. Supply $\lambda \delta$ бov.
 єip ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega]$ Cognate accusative. $\mu о \rho \mu o \lambda v \pi \epsilon^{\prime} i a$ might be supplied. See Phaedo 77 E.

 § 27. Mop $\mu \dot{\omega}$ (-óos, -ov̂s) or Mop $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ (-óvos) = Bogey, except that it is of the feminine gender. In the Acharnians (583) the word is used
 also Peace 474.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ov̀s . . . áфatpé $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon s}$ ] The use of the plural, which is foreign to the nature of an abstract noun, heightens the rhetorical effect of the expression. So in Prot. 325 C we have $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$


 $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ s$. Even proper names are sometimes thus used in the plural e. g. Eur. Rh. 866-
oủk oî̉a тoùs $\sigma$ oùs oûs $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon 1 s$ 'O $\delta v \sigma \sigma$ '́as.
aủrá] See note on 44 C, aủrá.
Ei $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 v \mu^{\prime} \mathbf{v} v$ ] The apodosis is suppressed, and there is nothing

 45 E, ai $\sigma \chi \dot{v} \nu \rho \mu a \iota, \mu \grave{\eta} \delta o ́ \xi \eta$ к.т. $\lambda$. ., in which it was implied that the opinion of society was all-important.
$\pi$ т́тєроv к.т. .] Depending on ảva入áßoı $\mu \in \nu$ above, which has the force of $\pi a \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ бкотєîo $\theta a \iota$. The whole passage from $\Pi \hat{\omega} s$ oûv ăv above may be rendered thus-' What then is the fairest way in which we can examine the question? It would be, if we were to begin by taking up again this assertion which you make about opinions, and see whether it was rightly stated on various occasions or not, that we ought to attend to some opinions, but not to others.'
$\hat{\eta}$ oṽ] In the second alternative of a dependent disjunctive sentence it is indifferent whether ov or $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is used. Above in 46 B

 followed by a similar use of ov in B, after which we find circ $\chi \rho \eta$

 $\pi є \iota \rho \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu а \iota ~ \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̀ v$.

ápa] 'After all.' Ironical. Cp. 47 E.
$\left.{ }^{〔} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s}\right]$ 'Idly.'


 $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu о \iota \lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ '̈ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ is used in introducing an impossible supposition. Cp. Lat. 'dicis causâ,' ' for form's sake.'
ci] 'Whether,' as often after verbs of inquiring.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ otór $\epsilon \rho$ os A refinement on $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o i ̂ o s$, which would have expressed the meaning.
éáco $\mu \in v$ xaípetv] $\epsilon \boldsymbol{l}$ has to be supplied before these words. For

oio $\mu$ '́vตv] Mid., not pass. Cp. Euthyd. 305 C, olovtaı $\delta^{\prime}$ civaı $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ боф $\omega \tau a \tau o l ~ a ̉ v \theta \rho \dot{\mu} \pi \omega \nu$.
rt $\lambda^{\prime}$ '́civ] 'To have something in what they say,' and so to speak to the point, the opposite of oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon I \nu$.

 Xen. Mem. I. 3, § 5 .
47 A ámoӨvض́бкєเv] Here we have the pres.: but $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$ is often followed by a fut.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$ mapakpov́ol] 'Disorder your judgment.' The origin of the metaphor is doubtful. See L. and S.
 occurred in the use of the negative. We began in 46 D with tais $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oü, after which we had in E $\tau \boldsymbol{a} s{ }^{\prime} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$; then in 47 A we had ov twice, $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \delta^{\prime}$ ov,$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov', and now we go back to $\mu \eta^{\prime}$. The first of these cases is introduced by ӧть $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, the second by öтו $\delta$ 'єot, and the last three by ö $\tau \iota$ रp $\eta$; which shows that the mood preceding does not affect the question.

тג̀ $\tau 0 \mathrm{a} \hat{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ] Used here with strict propriety, since it refers to things that had been said on previous occasions. See note on 44 E , $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a$. . . $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$.
$\gamma \cup \mu v a \zeta{ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v o s}$ к.т.入.] The art of training has never been pursued so systematically as by the Greeks. Aristotle (E. N. III. 3, § 8) speaks of its rules as being more definite than those of navigation.

тоv̂тo $\pi \rho$ а́ттడv] 'Devoting all his energies to that.' Cp. the Latin 'hoc agere'; also in Greek the curious verb $\tau \in v \tau a ́ \zeta \omega(=\tau a \dot{v} \tau \alpha ́ \zeta \omega)$, 'to be engrossed with,' Phil. 56 E; Rep. 52 I E; Tim. 90 B.
B iarpòs ท̂ $\pi a \iota \delta o \tau \rho i \beta \eta s$ ] The iarpós and $\pi a \iota \delta o \tau \rho i \beta \eta s$ are constantly mentioned together, as being concerned with the same thing, namely, the body, on which it was the business of the physician to bestow health and of the trainer to bestow strength and beauty. See Gorg. $45^{2} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$. The function of the trainer in prescribing diet overlapped that of the physician (Arist. E. N. II. 6, § 7 ; cp. Prot. 313 D); and the two might be combined in one person, as in that of Herodicus of Selymbria (Prot. 316 E; Rep. 406 A).

тov่s rov̂ évòs ékeivou] The possessive genitive is equivalent to an adjective, and so has the article repeated before it.







$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mathbf{s}]=\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon$. 'When a participle represents the $\mathbf{C}$ protasis, its tense is always that in which the verb itself would have stood in the indicative, subjunctive, or optative.' Goodwin, G. G. § 226 .

 The induction is here reduced to an analogy between the body and the soul, for which cp. Prot. 313 A-314 B.
 matter.' The analytic form $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a i \not \omega \nu$ is here much more expressive than $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi a i \in \iota \\ \text { would have been. This idiom occurs three times }\end{gathered}$
 Baסís $\omega \nu$ ठ̈ $\delta \epsilon \tau \tau 0 \hat{v}$ àva $\mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, ' where he is already on the road to recollection;' 84 E , Oủkov̂̀ $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ aúтך $\gamma р а \mu \mu \eta$ ) . . . . $\tau \notin \mu-$ voval. These resolved forms are frequently to be met with in Plato, especially in the more philosophical dialogues. They abound in the Philebus. We find them sometimes in Latin, e.g. Cic. De Div. I. § $5^{2}$, 'Est apud Platonem Socrates, cum esset in custodia publica, dicens Critoni suo familiari sibi post tertium diem esse moriendum,' 'We have Socrates in Plato . . . saying.'
' $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ i $\gamma \mathbf{v} \epsilon \mathrm{To}$ ] 'We found to be made.' We have here a reference to
 $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. In such a reference the imperfect is regularly employed. Cp. Crat. 387 C, єї $\pi \epsilon \rho$ каì тò $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \widehat{\xi} \iota s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu: ~ P o l . ~ 282 \mathrm{~B}, \eta ̆ \sigma \tau \eta \nu:$



 Cron quotes Cicero, De Off. I. § 143, 'Itaque, quae erant prudentiae propria, suo loco dicta sunt.'
 of an alternative question. But the clause with mútєpoy is often suppressed, so that ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ practically becomes a direct interrogative.

Here we may imagine the full question to be- $\pi \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \nu \quad \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \dot{\eta}$


тov̂ ن̌ytetvov̂] 'The healthy,' what tends to health, the opposite of $\tau \delta \nu 0 \sigma \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$ below, which is that which tends to disease.
E

 It occurs however in the last line of the Knights of Aristophanes-

óviv $\eta \sigma$ ov] This verb itself governs the acc., but it is here coupled with a verb governing the dat. by a looseness of construction not



 (E. N. III. 3, § $I_{7}$ ) and what we express by the term ' will.' There is nothing good or bad but a good or bad will.
48 A Oủk ápa . . . фpovtıotéov] 'Then, my good sir, we need not mind quite so much.' The force of oủ $\pi$ ávv is always ' non omnino,' ' not quite,' ' not much,' 'hardly,' \&c., though it is often used by an ironical litotes for 'omnino non,' 'not at all.' See Appendix, note C, to Cope's translation of the Gorgias.
$\tau i \ldots \% \pi$ ] This change from the direct to the indirect form of interrogative is not uncommon. Cp. Gorg. $448 \mathrm{E}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta \epsilon i s ~ \eta \quad \rho \omega \dot{u} \tau a$



$\mathfrak{\eta} \uparrow \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}] \quad \tau_{i}^{\prime} \epsilon \in \rho o \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \nu$ here follows the analogy of such constructions as каkà $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota v a ́$.

ס '̇̃ait $\omega v$ ] 'The expert.' Cp. Phaedrus 275 E; Prot. 314 A; Gorg. 500 A , where he is called $\tau \in \chi \nu u k$ ós. The Aristotelian equivalent for an expert generally is $\delta$ єi $\delta \dot{\omega}$ (E. N. II. 9, § $2 ;$ X. 9 , § 17); in the sphere of morals in particular it is $\delta$ omovoaios or $\delta$ фро́vizos.



 proposal, when you propose.' The fullness of expression gives an air of deliberateness, and is a noticeable feature of Plato's style.




 ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．
＇A $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu i ̀ v \delta \eta$＇$]$＇Well，bué then，＇introducing a supposed objection． So in Rep． $3^{6} 5 \mathrm{C}$ we have an objection introduced by d̉ $\lambda \lambda d{ }_{\alpha}$ ráp， $\phi \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ Tis and in D ．by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$ ．In the combination $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \grave{\iota} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ there is no more need of an answering $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ than there is in $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ oûv or $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v generally．
oưtós $\tau \epsilon$ ］The $\tau \epsilon$ answers to the kaí in the following clause－кai $\mathbf{B}$ тóvסє．Notice how ovitos is used of what has gone before，while ö $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in the next clause is used of what is coming．See note on 44 E ， та̂ûta ．．．тá $\delta \epsilon$ ．

 סıкaьơv́vך к．т．入．But Cron and Schanz omit the $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ，on the authority of a quotation by Priscian，which gives a much easier reading．
oủ тò そท̂v к．т．入．］Cp．Apol． 28 B ，Gorg． 51 I B and what Aristotle（Pol．I．9，§ I6）says of the love of money，Aüt


Tò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \hat{v}]$ Supply $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ ．＇And that living well is the same thing as living rightly and justly．＇Cp．Gorg． 507 C．Tò $\epsilon \hat{u}$ § $\grave{\eta} \nu$ might be taken in the sense of $\hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \zeta \hat{\eta} \eta$ ．See Prot． 35 I B ；Rep． 329 A．It is in this sense that $\epsilon \hat{v} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ and $\epsilon \dot{v} \zeta \omega t a$ are used in the Ethics of Aristotle．
 114 B；Prot． $311 \mathrm{D}, 325 \mathrm{D}, 35 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{E}$ ；Laws 631 B ．The more symmetrical construction of $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \ldots$ ．．$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ is less often found， as in Lysis 217 E，Prot． 328 B．In Ceb．Tab．chs．III and XXXIX
 Cp． 53 E below，ầ $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}_{\text {．．．} i \boldsymbol{i}} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta$ ．
đàs $\sigma \kappa$ é $\psi \in \iota s]$ A case of inverse attraction，that is to say of the antecedent being drawn into the case of the relative．Cp．Prot．
 бофıбтás．
$\mu \eta$ ．．．ท๋］＇These，Crito，are really questions which concern those＇\＆cc．M $\boldsymbol{\eta} \ldots \hat{\eta}$ has here the force of an indicative statement． It may be supposed to have acquired it through the ellipse of some such word as öpa or $\phi о \beta o v ̂ \mu a \iota$ ．Cp．Laws $635 \mathrm{E}, \pi \in \rho \grave{\iota} \delta \grave{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{\tau \eta \lambda \iota \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu}$
 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ кà̀ àvoŋ̀т $\tau \nu$ ．

тav̂ta］Referring to $\tau$ d̀s $\sigma \kappa \in \in \psi \in i s$ ，but attracted into the gender of бкє́ $\mu \mu а \tau а$ following．
$\sigma к є ́ \mu \mu a \tau a]$ Put for $\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \in \iota s$ above，for the avoidance of monotony．
 inquiring.
 again.' ávaßı$\dot{\omega} \sigma t \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is here used transitively, like $\dot{\alpha} v a \beta \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ in Phaedo 89 C. It is generally intransitive, as in Polit. 271 A,
 e. g. Cic. Pro Mil. § 79.

It may be inferred from these words that the Athenians repented of their treatment of Socrates. Diogenes Laertius (II. § 43) declares that they condemned Meletus to death, and Diodorus (XIV. 37 ad fin.) goes so far as to say that the accusers were executed in a body. But these statements may be taken for what they are worth.


 person, $\delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ 入ó $\gamma o s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \eta \eta \rho \epsilon \epsilon$. Cp. the Latin phrase 'ratio vincit' or 'evincit' Hor. Sat. I. 3.115 ; II. 3. 225, 250.

D $\left.\mathfrak{E} \xi \mathfrak{\xi} a \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \mathbf{v o \iota}\right]$ 'Letting ourselves be brought away.' An instance of what Riddell (Digest § 88) calls the semi-middle sense of the verb. Both passive and middle tenses are so used. Cp. Apol. ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta_{i} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'to let yourselves be accustomed '; Meno 91 C, $\lambda \omega \beta \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$, 'to get himself ruined'; Rep. 412 C , oítıves ápgovaí $\tau \in \kappa а \grave{\text { к ảpgovtaı, }}$ ' who are to rule or let themselves be ruled.'

 particular word which follows it, so that the construction is on a par with that of $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ with the subj., and is to be explained in the same
 may be positively sinful,' we have the full expression which might


 Lysis $635 \mathrm{E}, \mu \eta$ oủ тov̂тó $\sigma \epsilon \ldots \kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \eta$. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ alone with the subj. is not so common in this idiomatic sense, probably because it might be mistaken for an imperative. When it does occur, it is often, as here, in combination with $\mu \grave{\eta} o v^{\prime}$, which determines the sense. Thus
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta}$ followed five times by $\hat{\eta}$ with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ either expressed or understood. Cp. Arist. E. N. X. 9, § 6, ó $\delta e ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ k a i ̀ ~ \eta ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta े ~ \mu ' ́ ~ \pi o \tau ' ~$


 тò ả̉ $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ข́v.

тарацévovtas] 'If we stay.'
ov́ $\tau \epsilon$ ] Supply $\epsilon \mathfrak{l} \delta \epsilon \hat{i}$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ́ \delta t \kappa \epsilon i v] ~ ' R a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ a c t ~ u n j u s t l y . ' ~ T h e ~ w h o l e ~ p a s s a g e ~$


$\left.\tau^{i} \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon v}\right]$ Deliberative conjunctive.
$\left.\pi a v ̂ \sigma a \iota . . . \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega v\right]$ Notice the participial construction with E' $\pi a v \in \epsilon \iota$. So also in the active, Gorg. 482 A , ảd $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a v, \tau \grave{a}$

©s é $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$ к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'Since I consider it of much importance to pursue my present course of conduct with your consent, instead of against it.' The MSS. have $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a t$, which has given much trouble. meías is Buttmann's emendation, suggested by Ficinus' translation. Notice the gen. abs. conveyed by the single word äкоvтos.
 the starting-point of an inquiry would often be some comprehensive principle, like that which Socrates proceeds to lay down of the duty of absolute non-resistance to evil, the other meaning of the word, namely ' first principle,' would probably assert itself here in the mind of the Greek reader. Cp. Phil. ${ }_{2} 3 \mathrm{C}, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{~} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ aủvov̂ (i. e. тov̂ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v) \delta_{\iota \epsilon v \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \mu \in \nu o \iota$, and Arist. E. N. I. $7, \S 23$.
$\left.\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{~ a ̀ m o к \rho i ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ~ \tau o ̀ ~} \epsilon^{\rho} \rho \omega \tau \omega \mu \mu \mathrm{vov}\right]$ They now settle down to a bout at dialectic, one of the main rules of which was that the person interrogated should answer exactly the question put to him, and nothing else. If he succeeded in doing so, his answer was $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ;$ if he failed, it was oủ $\delta \grave{v} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v . ~ S e e ~ P h i l . ~ 42 ~ E . ~$
éкóvzas áठıкүт́́ov] The acc. of the agent after the neut. of the 49 A verbal adjective is explained on the principle of construction ac-
 and so the acc. is used which is required by $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ or $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ with the infinitive. Cp. Polit. 280 B ; Phaedrus 272 E ; Gorg. 507 D , 512 D ; Laws $643 \mathrm{~A}, 809 \mathrm{E}$.

то入入ákis] These discourses are reflected for us in the Gorgias, where Socrates maintains, as against Polus and Callicles, that to do wrong is a greater evil than to suffer it, and in the Republic, where the claim of injustice to be considered the natural good of man is defended first by Thrasymachus, and then for the sake of argument by Glaucon and Adeimantus.
 suspected here, referring us back to the similar expression in 46 B ,


ท้] 'Can it be that?' See note on $47 \mathrm{D}, \hat{\eta}$ oủ $\delta \in \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau$ тoûto;

$\pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa 0 i \delta \epsilon]$ 'At our time of life.' Socrates and Crito were contemporaries. See note on Crito. On the exact meaning of

[ $\gamma$ épovtes] Supposed to be a gloss on $\tau \eta \lambda 1 \kappa o i \delta \epsilon \in \stackrel{a}{ } \nu \delta \rho \in s$.
 the sense of 'previously,' 'originally,' e.g. E. N. III. 5, § 14, Tót $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

$\phi \alpha \mu \hat{v}$ भ̂ ov̉;] 'Do we say 'yes" or "no"?'
ஸs oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ oi oioveal ] The poets were the fathers of philosophy (Lysis 214 A), and Archilochus had said (Archilochi Frag. CXVIII Gaisford) -

$$
\hat{\epsilon} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota \mu \in ́ \gamma a \text {, }
$$


Xenophon regards Cyrus the Younger as the type of a manly character, and records his prayer that he might live long enough to requite both friends and foes (Anab. I. 9, § 11). What was the prayer of Cyrus became the boast of Sulla, who records in the epitaph which he composed for himself that none of his friends had surpassed him in well-doing nor his foes in ill-doing (Plut. Sulla 38 ad fin.). In Xen. Mem. IF. 6, § 35 the words áv $\delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ p \epsilon \tau \eta े v ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~$
 mouth of Socrates himself, though he is not committed to them as a philosophical position. The same may be said of II. 3, § 14 , where סoreî is used. In II. I, § I9, we have probably the voice of the soldier Xenophon rather than of Socrates. Even in Plato himself the current morality is sometimes put into the month of Socrates.
 $\phi \theta 0 \nu \in \rho \dot{v} \nu$ द́ $\sigma \tau \iota$ тò $\chi$ aí $\rho \in \iota \nu$. But these are merely obitex dicta which it would be misleading to press as against the express testimony of the present passage in favour of the more advanced view held by Socrates. In the first book of the Republic the philosopher is represented as combating the doctrine of the old morality maintained
 тoùs é $\chi$ Өpoús, Rep. $33^{2} \mathrm{D}, 335 \mathrm{~B}$; cp. Meno 7 I E.
C $\omega$ s oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ́ \phi \alpha \sigma \iota$ ] This emphatic repetition is like the reiterated 'Нкои́батє ött 'єpp'́日 $\eta$ of the Sermon on the Mount.
oú $\delta^{\prime}$ âv o̊ otiov̂v $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \times \eta$ ] ' No matter what one may suffer.' $\tau \iota s$ is to be supplied with $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \eta$, as $\tau \iota v a$ was with the infinitives $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i v$,
 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The omission of tis is rendered easier by the previous suppression of $\tau \iota \nu a$. Cp. Euthyd. 284 A; Meno 79 B, тò $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \circ \rho i ́ o v$
 sometimes omitted in the same way in Latin, e. g. Cic. Lael. § 59, 'ita amare oportere, ut si aliquando esset osurus.'

 $\sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \sigma \eta$.

кai . . . кal] 'Either . . . or.'
oủk ย̈́тt кoıvท̀ $\beta$ ou入í] 'Cannot take counsel together,' 'have no common ground for discussion.'
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ кaтaфpoveiv] This mutual contempt of the man of the world and the philosopher is finely brought out in the Gorgias, in the attitude towards one another of Callicles and Socrates. See also Euthyd. 304 E.
á $\rho \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a]$ ' Whether we are to start from this principle in our deliberations.' The deliberative conjunctive is retained unchanged in the oblique narration after a primary tense or the imperative.



 are explanatory of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \in \mathrm{v}$. Cp. Phil. 16 C ; Meno 95 E ; Rep.
 this is so'; Laws $624 \mathrm{~A}, 626 \mathrm{E}, 644 \mathrm{~B}$, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$


-ข้тє . . . á $\mu v v_{v \in \sigma \theta a l] ~ T h e ~ d r o p p i n g ~ o f ~ t h e ~ a r t i c l e ~ i s ~ p e r h a p s ~ d u e ~}^{\text {a }}$ to the fact that this clause, though formally on a level with the other two, is really only an enlargement of the second.

тò $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тои̂тo] This means the next step in the argument. It is $\mathbf{E}$ naturally a common phrase in Plato. We have it for instance in Euthyphro 12 D; Crat. 391 B; Prot. 355 A; Gorg. $4^{62}$ D.



 кal̀ $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ тotov́т $\omega \nu$;
 the respondent, sometimes $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma o$ ors älv.
é $\xi a \pi a \tau \eta \tau \notin \sigma v$ ] Supply aủvóv. ä is directly governed only by поıทтє́ov.
'Eк тои́т $\omega v$ ס $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ ] 'As a consequence of this then.' Cp. Phil. 48 C ,
 тоข́тav $\delta \grave{\eta}$ छॄv́ves ö тol $\lambda \in \in \gamma \omega$.
$\mu \eta े \pi \epsilon i \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s] \mu \eta^{\prime}$ is used because the whole sentence is hypothe-tical-' If we go away from here without having obtained the consent of the city.'
50 A кaì tav̂ra] 'And that too.'
סıkaious oviouv] Put by attraction for Suraioss eival, which itself arises out of tov́тoıs \& $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \mu \in \nu$ סíraıa єivat. Here the process of attraction is carried one step farther than usual.
oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ évvoû] 'For I do not grasp your meaning.'
ảmoঠiठpáakєLv] A word of contemptuous signification, being suggestive of the $\delta \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s$. Hence it is followed by a sort of apology for its use.
 noticeable feature in Plato's style. Thus in the Apology we have


tò кotvóv] 'The commonwealth.' The word was translated into Latin by 'commune' ('commune Milyadum,' Cic. Verr. II. I, § 95 ; 'commune Siciliae,' II. 2, §§ 144, I45, I54), whence the French 'commune.' Stallbaum suggests that Cicero had the Crito in his mind when he composed the passage beginning 'Nunc te patria, quae communis est parens omnium nostrum' in his first oration against Catiline (§ 17).

Eint $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ ] 'The Laws speak in the singular, as a tragic chorus
 suggests the singular.' Purves.
 anything else than that you are meaning?' ä $\lambda \lambda_{0} \tau_{\iota} \vec{\eta}$, which is often cut down to $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{0} \boldsymbol{\tau}$, is a common interrogatory formula in Plato. There is an avoidance of bluntness about it characteristic of the polite Hellenic mind. To exhaust the negative is an indirect way of establishing the corresponding affirmative, so that the question practically amounts to the assertion 'You are meaning.' Cp. Phaedo 79 B ; Theaet. 165 E; Charm. 173 A, B; Meno 82 C, D, 97 A; Rep. 337 C.
B ÉTt . . . ảvatefpáфөat] 'To exist any longer and not be overturned.' The perfect indicates the immediate and necessary consequence of lawlessness.
${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \kappa$ каi $\rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \mathrm{p}$ ] 'Especially an orator.' For the opinion which Socrates, or Plato, entertained of orators cp. Apol. I7 A, B ; Prot. 329 A. He is called by Timon in the Silli $\dot{\rho} \eta$ ropó $\mu v \kappa т о s$ (' rhetorician-mocker,' L. and S.). See D. L. II. § 20.
vimèp rov́tov tov̂ vópov ámo入入upévov] 'On behalf of this law whose life is threatened.' It is said that no law could be abrogated
at Athens without its cause having been pleaded by practised advocates.
\%ัธı] Notice that ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ is used with the direct as well as with the oblique narration, unlike 'that' in English, which is confined to the


'Hвíкєь үáp к.т.入.] 'Why, the state was doing us a wrong.'
ékpıve] Perhaps aorist, as referring to a single act, whereas the imperfect preceding may be taken as referring to a course of conduct.
 Plato with a gen. of the person and an acc. of the thing (e. g. Apol. 17 A ; Phaedo 89 A ; Theaet. 161 B; Prot. 329 C). In this passage we have the two halves of the construction separately, the gen. here and the acc. immediately below- $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta a v ́ \mu a \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \lambda \in \gamma_{o ́ \mu} \epsilon \nu \alpha$.

єí $\omega \theta$ as . . . ároкрivєoӨal] The laws are supposed to turn the tables on Socrates by employing against him his own favourite dialectical method. On the importance assigned by Socrates to dialectic cp. Phil. 57 E ; Prot. 329 B; Rep. 534 D.

фє́pє Yáp] 'Come now' = 'age nunc.'
$\left.\boldsymbol{\tau}^{i}{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega v\right]$ The participle is equivalent to a causal clause' What complaint have you that,' \&cc.

$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\lambda} \mu \mu \beta a v \epsilon\right]$ ' Took and had to wife.' The imperfect denotes the permanence of the connexion. Purves.

тoîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} . . . \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a v]$ Aristotle complains that this department of legislation was neglected in Greek states, with the exception of Sparta and a few others (E. N. X. 9, § I3. Cp. Pol. VIII. I, § 4). We must bear in mind however that $\nu$ ó $\mu o s$ means 'custom' as well as 'law.'

Пaiঠєia and $\tau \rho \sigma \phi \dot{\eta}$ constantly occur together, as in the passage before us and in 54 A . Between them they cover the whole field of education, being the correlatives of $\mu$ ovбıк $\dot{\eta}$ and $\gamma^{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$. Cp.





 $\mu$ оибぃк . Afterwards (Ibid. 4 10 B and C) Plato goes on to declare that the common idea was erroneous, namely that $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \hbar \dot{\eta}$ was for the sake of the body and $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ for the sake of the soul. For the true end of $\gamma v \mu \nu a \pi \tau \kappa \kappa \eta$ was not to increase the strength of the body, but to wake up the spirited element ( $\tau \grave{o} \theta v \mu \circ \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} s$ ) in the soul.

Movaぃ＇was a wide term，including both the instruction in reading and writing，which was given by the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta$＇，the learning of the lyre，which was part of every Athenian boy＇s education，and the committal to memory of passages of poetry，whether adapted to the lyre or not（Prot． $312 \mathrm{~B}, 325 \mathrm{C}-326 \mathrm{~B}$ ；Theag． 122 E ；Aristoph． Clouds 964，\＆c．；Ar．Pol．VIII．3）．In the Laws（ 809 E－81o A） Plato fixes the proper age for learning $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ at from 10 to 13 ， and for learning the lyre at from 13 to 16.

Sov̂入os］Cp．Cicero Pro Clu．§ 146 ，＇Legum denique idcirco omnes servi sumus，ut liberi esse possimus．＇

кaì бoì ．．．Síkatov］Wagner follows Stallbaum in reading кaì $\sigma v^{\prime}$, ＇as there is no other passage with the construction סícatóv $\mu 0$ í

51 A ávtıтv́mteเv］Strepsiades in the Clouds（1424）proposes $\theta \epsilon i v a \imath ~ \nu o ́ \mu o \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ v i \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \tau v ́ \pi t \tau \epsilon \nu$ ，
on the ground that，as parents beat their children out of loving－ kindness，the children were bound in their turn to show the same solicitude for them．
ápa］This sarcastic or emphatic use of ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ is commonly confined to the latter of two contrasted clauses，but here we have it with the former as well－î̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ äpa $\sigma o \iota ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ F o r ~ t h i s ~$ duplication of äpa cp．Prot． $3^{25} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ；Laws $93^{1} \mathrm{C}$ ．Instances of the single $\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho a$ in emphasizing a contrast are common enough，e．g． Apol． 34 C ；Phaedo 68 A ；Phil． 30 B ；Rep． 600 D．
＇єॄє́єтal $\sigma 01$ ］＇Are you to have license？＇
кaì $\left.\sigma \cup{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon\right]$ The combination кaì ．．．$\delta \epsilon$＇is an instance of the emphatic use of $\delta \dot{\delta}$ ，which enables that particle to appear sometimes， as here，in the apodosis of a sentence，whereas its ordinary use is as a conjunction coupling one sentence with another． Cp ．Theaet． ${ }_{171} \mathrm{E}$ and the extraordinary use in Theages 121 A ，кầv $\epsilon \mathfrak{l} \dot{a} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda i ́ a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$


тav̂тa $\pi$ тo七̂ิv Síkala $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon เ v$ ］＇That in doing this you are acting justly．＇$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ is properly used of moral action，i．e．action directed to a reasonable end，whereas $\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ may be used of a random






 $(358 \mathrm{~A})$ the claims of country are put before those of parents－$\dot{d}^{2} \lambda \lambda d$


 distress of the Ten Thousand after the capture of their officers, says

 Officiis I. § 57 strongly asserts the priority of the claims of country'cari sunt parentes, cari liberi, propinqui, familiares, sed omnis omnium caritates patria una complexa est.'


 See note on 45 D, фа́бкоута к.т.д.
$\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon เ \tau]$ 'Conciliate.' The word is generally used in a bad sense, as in Eur. Med. 368 ; Aristoph. Acharn. 658.
ćáv $\tau \epsilon \ldots$. ćáv $\tau \epsilon \ldots$. . éáv $\tau \epsilon$ ] 'Whether . . . or . . . or.' Cp.





द́áv $\tau \in \delta \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a l]$ Supply тробтátт $\eta$.


 of person but not of property. See Andocides, De Mysteriis § 74.


 ... є́тоццá $\xi \epsilon \nu$ : Laws 876 C; Xen. Mem. I. 5, § 5. The same principle serves to palliate the extraordinary bit of bad grammar



$\left.\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \theta \in t v . . . \pi \in ́ \phi v_{k \epsilon}\right]$ 'Or convince them of what is naturally just.'
$\tau \widehat{\text { ¢̂ }}$
 process of scrutiny which every youth had to pass at Athens before being enrolled on the list of citizens. See Demosthenes p. 1318.

ทi $\mu \mathrm{a} s$ tov̀s vó $\mu \mathrm{ovs}$ ] It was part of the education of the Greek youth to learn the laws of their country. See Prot. 326 C, D,



द́ $\xi \in \in \hat{\imath} v a l]$ Governed by $\pi \rho o a \gamma o \rho \in \dot{v} o \mu \epsilon \nu$, but at the same time explanatory of $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\epsilon} \xi \xi o v \sigma i a \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o \imath \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$, which renders the sentence involved.
$\lambda a \beta$ óvia] Supply aủróv. The dat. $\lambda a \beta$ óv $\boldsymbol{\imath} \iota$ might have been used, but this change to the acc. with infin. is quite common. Cp. Euthyphro 5 A ; Symp. 176 D.

ảтaүорєи́єt] 'Forbids.' проаүорєи́єє above = 'proclaims.'
ċáv $\tau \epsilon \ldots$...éáv $\tau \epsilon] \quad$ Cp. B above.

$\mu \in \tau о \iota \kappa \in i v]$ cis ảmoukià l'́val above refers to a change of abode to an Athenian colony; $\mu \epsilon \tau о \boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon i v}$ here to a change into another state altogether. A resident in a foreign state was called $\mu$ '́́rotкos.
ôs $\delta^{\prime}$ àv $\left.\mathfrak{v} \mu \omega ิ v \pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon^{i} v \eta\right]$ ' But if any of you has stayed.'
\%$\tau \iota \tau \epsilon \ldots$ ov $\left.\pi \epsilon \epsilon^{i} \theta \in \tau a l\right]$ The force of the sentence falls on $\gamma \in v ข \eta$ raîs ov̋ouv-' In that we whom he refuses to obey begat him.' $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau a i$, ' parents,' is to be distinguished from $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu \nu \eta{ }^{2} \tau a t=$ ' gentiles.'
 i $\epsilon \rho$ á. Schol. RS.
 the second of gratitude ( $\tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \iota$ ), but the third is one of contract (ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a s)$.
$\pi \epsilon[\theta \in \sigma \theta a r]$ Pres. infin. instead of the more usual future. But the covenant does not refer merely to the future, but to the present as
 $\pi 0 \lambda ı \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a l$.
52 A Od́tepa] The preference for the plural in such expressions is very marked in Greek.

Immediately below we have où $\delta$ ét $\epsilon \rho a$, as also in 44 D above. Cp .

 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ : ibid. 43 E . As an interrogative $\pi o ́ \tau \in \rho a$ is often used for $\pi \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, e.g. Phil. 50 D, and in the sense of 'alteruter' the plural is

 ómót $\rho$ ' ầ $\pi o \iota \hat{\eta}$, ' whichever he may do,' Gorg. 469 A . In all these cases, it is to be observed, that the idea is singular, not plural (or rather dual) as in $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \rho a$. Similarly where we speak of ' a beginning, a middle and an end,' the Greek (Phil. 3I A) is $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon$


 (Euthyd. 297 C) and has full play in the form used for the superlative
adverb. Although the comparative adverb keeps to the singular, yet the plural is apt to be used in phrases with the comparative

 and ' $I$.'
 $\pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \in \tau a \iota$ oűrє $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{s}$ : but this emphatic kind of tautology is so marked a feature of Plato's style that Riddell in his Digest of Idioms has labelled it under the title of 'binary structure.' Cp. Prot. 316
 e addition of tòv таи̂та $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \alpha$.
 Matt. v. 21, 22.
èv roîs $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a]$ See note on $43 C$, ẻv tois $\beta a \rho u ́ \tau a \tau$ '.
$\dot{\omega} \mu \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \kappa \omega \grave{s} \tau u \gamma \mathrm{X} a \mathrm{v} \omega$ ] This may be considered a periphrastic form of the perfect of $\delta \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \omega$. Cp. Phil. ${ }_{5} \mathrm{I}$ E, $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ ós :


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ts}] \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i \nu$ is to live in one's own country, as opposed to $\dot{d} \pi o \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ (Meno 80 B ), from which we have the noun $\dot{d} \pi o \delta \eta \mu i a \nu$ below. Socrates carried his disposition to stay at home so far, that he seldom went outside the walls of the city, alleging as his reason for this love of town, that places and trees had nothing to teach him, whereas the men in the city had. See Phaedrus 230 C, D. Diogenes Laertius however (II, § 23) records that Socrates, in addition to his campaigns, visited Samos as a youth in company with Archelaus, as also Delphi and the Isthmus. His authority for the first statement is Ion of Chios, a contemporary of Socrates, for the second Aristotle, and for the third Favorinus.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{i} \theta \in \omega$ píav] I. e. to attend as a spectator at the public games of Greece-the Olympian, Nemean, Isthmian or Pythian.
 of some MSS. They are omitted altogether by Schanz. They may be a saving clause inserted by someone who was acquainted with the statement of Favorinus referred to above.

 campaigns of Socrates are enumerated in Apol. 28 E. He fought at Potidaea, where the Athenians were engaged in operations from $43^{2}$ to the close of 430 B.C., at Delium in 424 , and at Amphipolis in 422 B.C.
cííval] 'So as to know them.' The epexegetical, or explanatory
use of the infinitive. Cp. Phaedrus $24^{2}$ B, $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a t:$ Euthyd. 305 D, סокєiv: Gorg. ${ }_{5} 13$ E, $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon v \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ : Rep. 416 A, kakovpүєiv.

 $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$.
 ( 50 B ).
 pleased with the city.' $\dot{a} \rho \in \sigma \kappa o v=\eta s$ is the past imperfect participle.
$\left.\phi u \gamma \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s} \tau \mu \eta \tilde{\eta}^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \theta \mathrm{al}\right]$ 'To assess the penalty at banishment.' In a case in which there was no penalty appointed by law (which was
 accuser to assess the penalty. The accused, if convicted, was allowed to propose a counter-estimate (àvтıтí $\eta \sigma \iota s$ ). Had Socrates condescended to assess the penalty at banishment, there is no doubt but that his life would have been spared. See his reasons for refusing to do so stated in Apol. 37 C, D.
éка入入 $\omega \pi$ тí̧ou $\dot{s}$ oủk ảyavakт $\omega \hat{v}$ ] 'Made a brave show of not being put out.' The construction $\kappa a \lambda \lambda \omega \pi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ©́s occurs also in Theaet. 195 D , where it is followed by a gen. abs.- $\kappa a \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \iota \zeta \delta \mu \in \nu O s$


ఱs $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \eta \sigma \theta a\right]$ See Apol. 37 B, C. For the form $\epsilon \notin \eta \sigma \theta a \mathrm{cp}$. $\eta_{n} \delta \eta \sigma \theta a$, Euthyphro $I_{5} \mathrm{D}$; $\delta \iota \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a$, Tim. 26 C .
ékévous tov̀s $\lambda$ óyous aí $\chi$ Xúvet] 'Ashamed to belie those words,' lit. ‘ashamed before those words.'

ठtaф $\theta \in i ̂ p a l] ~ S u p p l y ~ \hat{\eta} \mu a ̂ s . ~$
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \tau \nu \nu \ddot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$. No vice on the part of a slave could be more inconvenient to masters than a tendency to run away. Consequently none was more severely condemned by opinion.
 in favour of the $\mu \epsilon \prime \nu$. See note on $53 \mathrm{~B}, \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu$.




ov́тє $\Lambda a k \epsilon \delta a i ́ \mu o v a$. . . oṽтє Kри́тŋv] The dialogue of the Laws purports to have taken place in Crete between Megillus the Lacedaemonian, Cleinias the Cretan, and a stranger from Athens, who is generally identified with Plato himself. Socrates and his followers had an admiration for the institutions of Sparta and Crete, owing to the moral purpose discernible in them. Cp. Arist.


 ibid. X. 9, § 13 ; Rep. 544 C; Prot. $34^{2}$ B; Xen. Mem. IV. 4, § 15 .

E $2 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} i \delta \omega v$ ] This form is used of Greek women in Eur. El. 53 A 1076.
 $\mu о р \mu о \lambda и ́ т \tau \eta \tau а$.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ ö $\tau \iota$ ] 'Of course.' For the position cp. Laws 648 B, фaí


tivı . . . ävev vó $\mu \omega v$ ] 'For who could be pleased with a state apart from laws?' The state ( $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\imath}(s)$ is essentially the constitution ( $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ éa), and the laws are the expression of the constitution.
ćàv $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̂ v \gamma \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \theta \eta] \quad$ Supply $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s$.




тav̂ta тapaßás] Cogn. acc. 'If you transgress thus, and commit any of these errors.'
$\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} v \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{v}$ ] Here we may say that the virtual antithesis to $\mathbf{B}$


 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ constantly defeats the expectation which it raises of a definitely marked antithesis with $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. We have had it five times previously in this dialogue ( $46 \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~A}, 50 \mathrm{D}, 50 \mathrm{E}, 52 \mathrm{D}$ ), and in no case has it been followed by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. In 48 A we may say that it is answered by кaì тóvסє aঠ̃ бкóтєє in B, though that refers back immediately to ov̉тús $\tau \epsilon \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. above, and in 50 D by 'A $\lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тoîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {. : }}$ in the remaining cases there is nothing to correspond to it. We may explain this use of the isolated $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ by saying that $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ is a weaker form of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ and does not necessarily require anything to balance it. Or perhaps the fact is rather that $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ so essentially suggests a contrast that it naturally takes $\mu^{\prime} \nu$, whether the contrast is carried out or not. How often is our 'to begin with' not followed up by any 'in the next place'! When $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ is answered at all, it is generally by ' $\overline{\epsilon \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha}$ or $\epsilon i \bar{i} \alpha$, very seldom by
 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu . .$. Phaedo 89 A, Charm. 167 B, Rep. 510 A, Tim. 69 D; $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$


implied in $\Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon$ and M'́ $\mathbf{\gamma} \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon$-an instance of construction according to the sense.

$\beta \in \beta a t \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ s . . . Tìv סógav] 'You will be establishing the reputation of the jurors.' Similarly in the Apology ( 35 D) Socrates is made to argue that if he tried to persuade the judges to vote against their oath and consciences, he would be justifying Meletus in his charge of impiety.

あ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ סoкєiv] In Euthyd. 305 D the infinitive is used epexegetically without $\delta о \kappa \epsilon i \nu-\epsilon ่ a ̀ \nu ~ r o v ́ t o v s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta o ́ g a v ~ \kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~$ ठокєì ảkiovs єival.
 Cp. Phaedo 65 A; Arist. E. N. IV. 3, § 23 , ả $\phi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ tôv $\beta$ iov, wis oủk

$\hat{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ 'ácels tov́тols к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'Or will you consort with them$ and have the effrontery to discourse-but what will you say, Socrates?-the same words as here ?'
 structed with a participle in Apol. 31 B, rov̂tó $\gamma \epsilon$ oủ $\chi$ oioí $\tau \epsilon$

 idea. The employment of a neuter adjective with a substantive not of the neuter gender is quite common in Greek when an abstract turn is to be given to a sentence. Cp. Rep. $455 \mathrm{E}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \delta \bar{\epsilon}$

D тò тov̂ $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau o u s \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a] ~ C p . ~ A p o l . ~ 20 ~ C, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma o ̀ v ~ \tau i ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~$
 $i \delta \omega \omega \tau \kappa \delta o v$, where the context shows that $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma} \mu a$ is not 'conduct,' as we might be tempted to render it here, but 'case.'
oil $\epsilon \theta \theta a i \quad \gamma \in X \rho \eta$ '] 'You must think so.' This phrase is frequent in Plato. Cp. 54 B; Phaedo 68 B; Charm. 163 B; Prot. 325 C , Gorg. 522 A .
 §́voor.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta$ á $\left.\tau a \xi i ́ a\right]$ On the reputation of Thessaly for unruliness cp .


$\sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \eta{ }^{\prime} \quad \tau \epsilon$ ] The $\tau \epsilon$ looks on to ral $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тò $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v}$ $\mu \in \tau a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi ̧ a s$.
$\delta \iota \phi \theta$ '́pav $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} v]$ The dress of Athenian rustics. Cp. Aristoph. Clouds 72-
 on which the Scholiast remarks $\pi о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta$ о́лаıоע.
éтó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma a s]$ 'You could condescend to.'
 life.' $\gamma^{\lambda}$ i $\sigma \times \rho 0$ is used of a 'tight-fingered' or 'close-fisted' man. It is derived from $\gamma^{\lambda i} \chi \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'to cling to.' Cp. Phaedo 117 A,


тараßás] 'As to transgress.'



ข์тєрхо́ $\mu \in \operatorname{vos}]$ 'Truckling to,' 'fawning on.' Cp. Rep. 426 C,




 oi $\Theta \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda$ oí. Cp. p. 418 and 527 , where Theopompus is quoted as

 öтшs $\pi а р а \sigma \chi \eta ́ \sigma о \nu \tau а \iota ~ к є к о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu . ~$
$\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i v$ ] Ethic dative. 'Pray, what will become of them?'
54 A
'A入入ג̀ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ] 'But you will say,' anticipating an objection. Cp .

 $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\tau \omega \hat{v} \pi a i \delta \omega v$ èveka] Socrates had three sons, one a stripling ( $\mu \in \iota \rho a ́ k \iota o \nu$ ) and two children. See Apol. 34 D , $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каì оiкєîoí $\mu$ оí

 The name of the eldest was Lamprocles (Xen. Mem. II. 2, § I). The two younger were Sophroniscus and Menexenus (Diog. Laert. II. § 26).
kai тov̂тo] There is no force in 'also ' here, so that we may take the wai as merely emphasizing the rov̂ro-' that this may be the benefit they may reap from you.'
 equivalent to the future passive. Here the statement is made from the point of view of the children's interest.

maîas] The article is omitted because the word is used indefinitely, 'children.'
á $\mu \epsilon$ cvov] The comparative is usual with this word (as in Apol.
 are accommodated to it by a species of attraction. Stallbaum.

C oi $\left.\eta \uparrow \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0^{\prime}\right]$ So in the Phaedo ( 63 B) Socrates speaks of going after death $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ \sigma o ф o u ́ s ~ t \epsilon ~ r a i ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o v ́ s . ~$ тò oòv $\mu$ épos] 'As far as in you lay.' Cp. 45 D, 50 B .
D of корvßavtî̂vtes] The word is desiderative in form-'those who are seized with Corybantic frenzy.' The Corybantes were priests of Cybele, whose rites were attended with wild music and


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ тov́тov.
$\beta o \mu \beta \in i]$ 'Keeps humming.'
 made insensible to all but one idea, so the Corybantes had ears only for the music of their own deity. Cp. Ion 536 C , $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi кopu-


 $\left.\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \delta \eta \lambda o \nu \pi a \nu \tau i \pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}\right\rangle \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$. In both cases the reference is perhaps to Apollo, under whose special patronage Socrates conceived himself to be (see Phaedo $8_{5} \mathrm{~B}$ ): but the curious vacillation in Plato's mind between polytheistic and monotheistic notions always leaves an element of doubt in such expressions, and modern sentiment would incline us to render ó $\theta$ єí's simply 'God,' as in Ápol. 29 D, $\pi \epsilon$ 'íбouaı





 such language is inculcated in the First Alcibiades-





## INDEX TO THE NOTES.

## I. ENGLISH.

Aeschines, 44 B, ${ }^{\prime} H \mu a \tau i ́ n \in \nu$ к.т. $\lambda_{\text {. : }}$ $44 \mathrm{C}, \hat{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{\rho} \rho \cdot \theta \nu \mu o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
Allusions to the Apology, 45. B,


Analogy between the body and the

Analytic forms in Plato, $47 \mathrm{D}, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime}$

Aorist referring to a single act, $5^{\circ}$ C, єєкрıvє.
Article, omission of, 49 D , oข้т $\epsilon$ ả $\mu v ́ v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
Attraction, 43 C, тiva тav́т $\eta \nu: 45$
 бкє́ $\mu \mu а \tau а: 50 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \iota r a i o \iota s$ ой $\sigma \iota \nu$ : 54 B , á $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu o v$.
Augment, omission of, $43 \mathrm{~A} ; \tau_{\imath}$ каì єย̇єрүє́тๆтаı.

Binary structure, 52 A , тоข́т $\omega \nu$ ои̉ $\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a$ поtєí.

Change from dat. to acc. with infin., $5^{1} \mathrm{D}, \lambda a \beta$ óvта.

Cognate accusative, 45 A , $\kappa \iota \delta \nu \nu-$

 таиิта тараßás.
Construction according to the sense, 49 A , éкóvтas à $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \tau$ éo $; 53 \mathrm{~B}$, т $\hat{\eta}$ тои́тav $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ q . ~$

- changed, 45 E, raì $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau \in \lambda \in v \tau a i ̂ o v ~$ סокеї.

Contrast between pres. and perf., 46 A, Bov入єúov . . . ... $\beta \in \beta o v-$ $\lambda \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$.
Corybantes, 54 D.
Country, claims of, $51 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega$-,


Deliberative conjunctive, $48 \mathrm{D}, \tau_{i}^{\prime}$ $\delta \rho \omega ิ \mu \epsilon \nu ; 49 \mathrm{D}, \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{\omega} \omega \mu \in \theta$.
Dialectic, $48 \mathrm{E}, \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ ảmокрі̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\tau \grave{\text { é }} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \nu$.
Dreams after midnight true, 44 A ,


Education neglected by states, 50 D, $\tau 0 i \hat{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{l} . . .$.
Ellipse of $\epsilon i \mu i ́, 46 \mathrm{~B}$, тooov̂tos.
Epexegeticalinfinitive, ${ }^{45} \mathrm{C}$, $\sigma$ avtù̀
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta$ ס纸iv.
Ethic dative, $53 \mathrm{E}, \eta_{\eta} \mu \mathrm{v}$.
Forms of address, 44 B, ฝ̂ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota \epsilon$. Fullness of expression, 48 A , $\epsilon i \sigma \eta$ -

Future middle for passive, 54 A , $\theta \rho \in ́ \nLeftarrow o v \tau a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \delta \in v ́ \sigma o v t a \iota$.

Genitive absolute conveyed by a

Gloss, $43 \mathrm{D},[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu]: 49$

 $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \sigma \theta \mu \delta \nu\right]$.

Homer，adaptation from， 44 B ，


Idomeneus， 44 C ，$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o \theta v \mu o v-$ $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$.
Imperfect used in reference to a previous statement， 47 D ，é $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma}-$ $\nu \in \tau 0$ ．
Infinitive，absolute use of， 43 D ， ঠокє $\mu^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime}$ ．
Informers at Athens， 44 E ，oi бикофávта．
Interrogative，change from the direct to the indirect， $4^{8} \mathrm{~A}$ ， тí．．．．ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı．
Inverse attraction， $48 \mathrm{C}, \tau \operatorname{ds}$ $\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \epsilon \epsilon s$.

Laws，knowledge of，part of Greek education，${ }_{51} \mathrm{D}$ ，$\grave{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}$ s toùs vó－ $\mu$ ovs．
Looseness of construction， 47 E ， ỏvívๆбเข．

Monotheistic and polytheistic lan－ guage mixed， 54 E ．

Neuter adjective with masc：or

Non－resistance to evil， $48 \mathrm{E}, \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ $\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \epsilon \omega s \tau \eta े \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ．

Orators，Plato＇s opinion of， 50 B，


Participle representing protasis， 47

Perfect indicating an immediate consequence， 50 B ，${ }^{\text {E゙ } \tau \iota}$ ．．．． ảvat $\epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \phi \theta a \imath$ ．
Perfect used as present， 45 D ， є $̈ \omega \theta \epsilon$ ．
Personal construction in place of impersonal， 44 D，$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha$ ．．．．ő ő $\iota$ ； 45 A ，סíraıó ${ }^{\text {é }} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
Personification of the argument， $46 \mathrm{~B}, \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \hat{\lambda} \gamma \varphi \underline{ }$.

Personification of truth， 48 A ，

Piety of expression， 54 E ．
Plural，preference for in Greek， 52 A, ád $\tau \in \mathrm{p}$ ．
－rhetorical use of， $46 \mathrm{C}, \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ov̀s ．．．．．áфaıpé $\sigma \epsilon t$ ．

Revenge，duty of， 49 B ，ás oi тод入oì olovta．
Runaway slaves， $5^{2}$ D，фаu入óтатоs．
Semi－middle sense of the verb， 48

Socrates，age of， $43 \mathrm{~B}, \tau \eta \lambda \iota \ldots 0 \hat{\text { r }}$ rov övта．
denied that he was a teacher， 43 C，è $\pi \imath \tau \eta \delta$ cióls．
did he teach the doctrine of non－ resistance to evil？ 49 B ，wis oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda$ ol oloviolal．
his campaigns，$\epsilon i \quad \mu \eta$ 向 $\pi \rho t \sigma \tau \rho a-$ $\tau \in \nu \sigma о ́ \mu \in \nu$ оs．
his disciplescalled＇companions，＇ 43 C ，$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta$ є́ios．
his dream， $44 \mathrm{~B},{ }^{*} \mathrm{H} \mu a \tau i{ }_{k \in \nu}$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．
his fondness for Athens， 52 B ， ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \iota$ ．
his inductive method， 47 C，iva

his love of dialectic， 50 C ，

his reputation for wisdom， 5 I A ， oṽт $\omega$ єî $\sigma$ oфós．
his resignation to the divine will， 43 D ，єỉ таúт $\boldsymbol{\kappa} . \operatorname{t.\lambda .~:~} 54 \mathrm{E}$.
his sons， $54 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \ell \in \kappa \alpha$ ．
length of his imprisonment， 43

not imprisoned before his trial，

repentance of the Athenians for their treatment of， $4^{8} \mathrm{C}$ ， ảvaßı $\omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ äv．
under the patronage of Apollo， 54 E．

Sparta and Crete，institutions of， 52 E．
Sunium， 43 D．
Superposition of participles， 50 A ， è $\lambda \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \in s . . .$.

Tautology， $5^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ ，$\tau$ ov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho \alpha$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{L}$ ．
Thessalians，their reputation for


－their reputation for unruliness， $53 \mathrm{D}, \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{i} \tau \tau \eta$ ảтaぼia．

Training，art of， $47 \mathrm{~A}, \gamma v \mu \nu a \zeta$ ó－ $\mu \in \nu 0$ ．

Verbal adjective，acc．of the agent after the neut．， 49 A ，énóvtas ảסıкךтє́ov．
—— infin．govd．by the $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ latent
 $\theta \in \iota \nu$ ．
Verbs of promising with pres． infin．， 5 I E，$\pi \in i ́ \theta \in \sigma \theta a u$ ．
White garments， $44 \mathrm{~B}, \lambda \in v \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ i \mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~$ Є゙Хоvба．

## II．GREEK．


 oloí $\tau \epsilon$ ．
ả $\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon, 49 \mathrm{E}$ ．

ả入入oóótє $\rho$ os for ả入入oîos， 46 D ．
$\ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda o \tau \iota \ddot{\eta}, 50 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
àvaßı$\dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 48 \mathrm{C}$ ．
ảvaı $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ with participle， 53 C ．
ảข $\tau \iota \tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ， 51 A ．
àтоঠıঠра́бкєєı， 50 A ．
äpa，sarcastic or emphatic use of， $5_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ ．
đ̂ $\rho a \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}, 44$ E．
á $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ，double meaning of， $4^{8} \mathrm{E}$ ，
$\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \in \omega s$ тो̀े $\dot{\eta} \rho \chi \chi \eta \nu$ ．
av̉rá，vague use of， $44 \mathrm{C} ; 46 \mathrm{C}$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau a i ́$ and $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ิ \tau \alpha \iota, 5 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{E}$ ，öтı $\tau \epsilon$ ．．．．ov̉ $\pi$ í $\theta \in \tau a$ ．
$\gamma \lambda i \sigma \chi \rho \omega=s, 53 \mathrm{E}$ ．
$\delta \epsilon ́$ in apodosis， 44 B ，${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \in:{ }_{51} \mathrm{~A}$ ， кai $\sigma \grave{~} \delta \epsilon \in$.
$\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda a \ldots$ ．．ő $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota, 44$ D．
ठ方入ov öтt，position of， 53 A ．


бı $ф \theta \epsilon \in \rho \alpha, 53 \mathrm{C}$ ．
 бокь $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta$ ．

סov̂גos，of a subject in relation to the state， 50 E ．
סuvãós with acc．， 44 D ，oủঠ̇́̇ $\tau \in \rho a$ oioí $\tau \epsilon$ ．

 B．
दُâv रaíp $\iota \nu, 45 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
tis aũpıov， 43 D ．
єiनoठos， 45 E．
єíva， 43 B．
єì тı каі б $\mu \iota \kappa р о ́ v, 46 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
$\epsilon i \not \ddot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda o v, 44 \mathrm{D}$ ，
${ }^{\text {＇E }}$ E $\lambda \eta \nu i \prime$＇， 53 A ．
èvapүท̀s， 44 B．



ย̇ข тoîs ßapútata， 43 C ．
${ }^{k} \xi \xi \sigma v, 45 \mathrm{C}$ ．
غ̇aïんv，$\dot{0}, 48 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i v, 5^{2} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
е̉лtєєкє́a， 44 C ．
ė $\pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \hat{\kappa} \omega, 43 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
 pas．
è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ r \eta s$ ，etymology of， 47 B ．
ध̈ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} о \iota \mu$ os used withont $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i, 45$ B．
$\epsilon \hat{u} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，double meaning of， $4^{8} \mathrm{~B}, \tau \dot{o}$ ठè $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ．
${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta \sigma \theta a, 5^{2} \mathrm{C}$.
$\hat{\eta}$ after $\hat{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \hat{o} s, 44 \mathrm{~A}, \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}$.

- = direct interrogative, $47 \mathrm{D}, \hat{\eta}$

-reinforcing a gen. of comparison, $44 \mathrm{C}, \hat{\eta}$ ठокєiv.
$\grave{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa o ́ s$, note on title.
ท̀лıкía, 43 C.
$\theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta_{\epsilon t \nu}$ with gen., 43 B ; 50 B .
$\theta \in \omega \rho i ́ a, 43 \mathrm{C}, \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda o i ̂ o \nu: ~ 52 ~ B . ~$
$\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu \nu, 5$ I B.
iarpòs ì $\pi a \iota \delta o \tau \rho i ́ \beta \eta s, 47$ B.
iva with indic., 44 D , ìva oioi $\tau \epsilon$ $\widehat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.
$\kappa a i ̀ . . . . \delta \hat{\epsilon ́},{ }_{51} \mathrm{~A}$, кaì $\sigma v \grave{v}^{\delta \epsilon ́ .}$.
$\kappa a \lambda \lambda \omega \pi i\langle\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}, 52 \mathrm{C}$.
катá $\gamma \in \lambda \omega \omega$, 45 E .
коєขov, тó, 50 A .
$\kappa о \rho v \beta a \nu \tau \iota \hat{\omega \nu} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$, oi, 54 D .
$\lambda \omega \beta \hat{a} \sigma \theta a u$ with dat., 47 E .
$\mu$ à đòv $\Delta^{\prime} a_{a}, 43 \mathrm{~B}$.
$\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ with pres. infin., 47 A ,

$\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, 43 \mathrm{~A}, \pi a ́ v v \nu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oủv; 44 B, $\epsilon_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \boldsymbol{\delta \epsilon}$.
$\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ without $\delta \dot{\delta}, 43 \mathrm{~A}, \pi \alpha ́ \nu v \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̂v;
 $\mu^{\prime} \nu$.
 èvap
$\mu \in \tau о \boldsymbol{\kappa \epsilon} \mathrm{IV}, 5 \mathrm{I}$ D.
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s \pi o i ́ \epsilon t, 45 \mathrm{~A}$.
$\mu \grave{~} \circ$ v̉, $43 \mathrm{C}, \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~}$ oủ $\chi$ í.
- with subj., $48 \mathrm{D}, \mu \eta े ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \eta$.
$\mu$ ' used in hypothetical sentence, $49 \mathrm{E}, \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$.
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ with subj. having the force of an indic., $48 \mathrm{C} ; \mu \eta$. . . . n $n^{5} ; 48$ $\mathrm{D}, \mu \eta{ }_{\mathrm{\eta}}$ oủ $\delta \in \epsilon \emptyset$.
Мор $\mu \dot{\omega}$ or Мор $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu, 46$ C, $\mu о \rho \mu о \lambda \dot{\tau} \tau-$ т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.
$\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\prime}$ and $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\prime}, 50 \mathrm{D}$.
$\nu \dot{\eta}$ used in oaths, 43 B .

ő $\delta \epsilon$ and oṽтos, 44 E, тav̂тa.... đáó $; 47 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ rotaûta; 48 B , oủtós $\tau \epsilon$.
oì $\in \sigma \theta a a^{\prime} \gamma \in \chi$ Pín, 53 D .
oiós $\tau \in$ with acc., 44 D , ov̉סér $\tau \rho a$ oioí $\boldsymbol{\tau}$.
oỉходаи, 45 D.
ó 入óros aipề, 48 C .
ö $\pi \omega s \mu^{\prime}, 49$ D.
ӧ $\rho$. . . . öт $\bar{\omega}$ s $\mu \dot{\eta}, 49$ D.
${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \rho o s, 43$ A.

ó $\tau \iota$ à $\nu \tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota, 44 \mathrm{D} ; 45 \mathrm{D}$.
ő $\tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\varphi} o ~ \sigma \alpha v \tau \hat{\varphi}, 45$ B.
ö $\tau \iota$ with the direct narration, 50 B .
oủ ... ả̀ $\lambda \lambda a ̀ \ldots \mu^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}, 43 \mathrm{D}, \delta о \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu ; 44 \mathrm{~B},{ }^{\prime} \tau \iota \iota \hat{c}^{\prime}$.
oủ $\mu$ ' ' ' with subj., 44 B , oủ $\delta$ éva $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о т є \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \bar{\rho} \sigma \omega$.
oủ or $\mu$, indifferent use of, 46 C , خ̀ ouv: $47 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \grave{s} \delta$ è $\pi o \nu \eta p a ̀ s ~ \mu \eta$.
ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v, 48 \mathrm{~A}$.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a$ and $\tau \rho о \phi \dot{\eta}, 50 \mathrm{D}$, тoîs $\pi \in \rho i$ . . . . $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \in i ́ a \nu . ~$
$\pi a ́ v v ~ \mu ย ̀ \nu ~ o u ̃ v, ~ 43 ~ A . ~$
- position of, $45 \mathrm{~B}, \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \pi a ́ v v$.
$\pi a \tilde{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$, participial construction with,

таракрои́єь, 47 A.
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \in \lambda \eta^{\prime} s, 43 \mathrm{~B}$.
$\pi$ тл»т $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 5^{2} \mathrm{C}$.
$\pi \rho a ́ \tau t \epsilon t y$ and $\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\imath}, 5 \mathrm{I}$ A, rav̂ia $\pi о \iota \omega \nu$. . . . Siкаца пра́ттєєv.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \nu \in \epsilon \iota, 46 \mathrm{C}$.
$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}, 43 \mathrm{~A}$.

бvкофа́vт $\eta$ s, 44 E.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ displaced, 43 B , $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ тобаи́тך $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
te日vávau, 43 D .
 49 A．

$\tau_{i}^{i} \eta^{\prime}$ with force of $\tau i a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \eta{ }^{\prime}, 53 \mathrm{E}, \tau i$ тoเผิ้ ทั．
тı $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon t \nu, 46 \mathrm{D}$ ．
$\tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, 5_{2} \mathrm{C}$ ．
тıva，suppression of， 45 D ，фá $\sigma$－ коута к．т．入．： $5_{1} \mathrm{~B}$ ，каі $\sigma \in ́ \beta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ．
Tis omitted， 49 C ，oủठ̉＇âv ơтเov̂v $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta$ ．
тò $\mu \in \tau \grave{d}$ тov̂тo， 49 E ．
$\tau \grave{~ \tau \partial ̀ v} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, 45 \mathrm{D} ; 54 \mathrm{D}$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ ，indefinite use of， 49 B ， $\mathbf{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$

$\tau \grave{\partial} \tau o \hat{v}$ ミんккрáтous $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a, 53 \mathrm{C}$ ．

тро́тоs， 43 В．

ímakov́єıv， 43 A．
ข̀тєр $\chi$ ó $\mu \in \nu 0 s, 53 \mathrm{~A}$ ．
ข́mo入oүi $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a u, 48 \mathrm{D}$ ．
$\phi \in ́ \rho \in \gamma^{\alpha} \rho, 50 \mathrm{D}$.
$\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ the only unconditional good， 44 D，фоóvıдоv．

ふ̄ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota \epsilon, 44$ B．
む̀s coalescing with oiós $\tau^{\prime} \not ̈ v, 44 \mathrm{C}$ ．


## INDEX OF PERSONS．

（ $n$ appended refers the reader to the notes．）

Cebes， 45 B，$n$ ．
Crito，note on title．

Simmias， 45 B，$n$ ．
Socrates，passim．
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Phaedo 59 D.

[^1]:     $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega ิ \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$;

    Ov̉火 $̇$ èvvoŵ $\nu$ v̂v $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ oṽtшs.
    
     $\sigma a \nu \tau a$, ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \eta$. With this contrast Crito $5_{1} \mathrm{E}, 5_{2} \mathrm{~A}$.
    
     $\epsilon^{\epsilon}$ Xov ©̀s $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu: \mathrm{cp} .300 \mathrm{~B}$, ad fin.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mem. IV. 4.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ D. L. II. § 21, on the authority of Demetrius of Byzantium.
    ${ }^{2}$ D. L. II. § 37 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Arist. Rhet. II. 23, § 12.

